

**THE EFFECT OF SENSORY IMAGES STRATEGY ON THE SEVENTH  
GRADE STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION AT MTS  
ANNIDHOM JEMBER IN THE 2016/2017 ACADEMIC YEAR**

**THESIS**

**By**

**Yourridha Bungaylla**

**NIM 1310231081**



**MUHAMMADIYAH UNIVERSITY OF JEMBER  
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION  
ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM**

**2017**

## APPROVAL SHEET

This is to certify that the Sarjana thesis of Yourridha Bungaylla has been approved by the thesis Board of Examination as the requirement for the degree of *Sarjana* in English Language Program.

Board of Examiners,

**Dr. Hanafi, M.Pd**  
NIP. 196708151992031002

**Chair**

**Dr. Mochamad Hatip, M. Pd**  
NPK. 87 02 165

**Member**

**Taufik Hidayah, M.Tesol**  
NPK. 16 09 727

**Member**

Acknowledged by

Dean Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

**Dr. Mochamad Hatip, M. Pd**  
NPK. 87 02 165

## **PREFACE**

Thanks to Allah for all his blessings and loves and His guidance still passed on to master the Prophet Muhammad SAW. then the writer can finish this thesis.

This thesis focuses on students' reading comprehension. This thesis is written to make the readers understand about the effect of sensory images strategy on the seventh grade students' reading comprehension. reading especially in reading comprehension is very important because by reading, students can take the information and increase their knowledge from the reading texts. It is common problem which must be some ways and solutions to solve this problem, in this case the researcher used Sensory images strategy to find out whether there is significance different between students who taught by using Sensory Images Strategy and those who are not.

It will hopefully give the information of using Sensory Images Strategy to teach reading, especially reading comprehension. This thesis will also become consideration for the English teachers to use Sensory Images Strategy in the teaching and learning process at the school. The writer realized that this thesis has weakness and the correction is hoped.

Jember, 2017

The Writer

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>COVER</b> .....	i
<b>TITLE SHEET</b> .....	ii
<b>LOGO SHEET</b> .....	iii
<b>MOTTO</b> .....	iv
<b>AGREEMENT</b> .....	v
<b>APPROVAL SHEET</b> .....	vi
<b>PREFACE</b> .....	vii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....	viii
<b>DEDICATION</b> .....	ix
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b> .....	x
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	xii
<b>LIST OF APPENDIXES</b> .....	xiii
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	xiv
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Background of the Research .....	1
1.2 Problem of the Research .....	3
1.3 Objective of the Research .....	4
1.4 Operational Definition of the Research .....	4
1.5 Significance of the Research .....	5
1.5.1 Theoretical Significance .....	5
1.5.2 Practical Significance .....	5
1.6 Scope of the Research .....	5
<b>CHAPTER II REVIEW OF THE RELATED LITERATURE AND ACTION HYPOTHESIS</b>	
2.1 Review of Related Literature .....	6
2.1.1 Reading Comprehension .....	6
2.1.1.1 Aspect of Reading .....	8
2.1.1.1.1 Comprehending Word .....	8
2.1.1.1.2 Comprehending Sentence .....	9
2.1.1.1.3 Comprehending Paragraph .....	10
2.1.1.1.4 Comprehending Text .....	11
2.1.1.2 Descriptive Text .....	12
2.1.2 The Factor that Influences Students' Reading Comprehension .....	12
2.1.3 Sensory Images Strategy .....	14
2.1.3.1 The Concept of Sensory Images Strategy .....	14
2.1.3.2 The Way in Implementing Sensory Images Strategy .....	15
2.1.3.3 The Advantages of Sensory Images Strategy .....	17
2.1.4 Using Sensory Images Strategy to Teach Reading Comprehension .....	17
2.1.5 Hypothesis .....	19
<b>CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD</b>	
3.1 Kind of the Research .....	20

3.2	The Research Design.....	20
3.3	Research Procedures .....	22
3.4	Respondent of the Reasearch .....	22
3.5	Research Area .....	23
3.6	Research Instrument.....	23
3.6.1	Test.....	23
3.7	Developing Research Instrument .....	24
3.7.1	Validity of the Test.....	25
3.7.2	The Reliability of the Test.....	27
3.7.3	Item Analysis of the Test.....	29
3.7.1.1	Difficulty Level .....	29
3.7.1.1	Discriminating Power.....	30
3.8	Data Analysis Method.....	31
3.8.1	Pre Analysis.....	31
3.8.1.1	Normality of the Test .....	31
3.8.1.2	Homogeneity of Variances test .....	32
3.8.1.3	Hypothesis of the Test.....	33
<b>CHAPTER IV RESULT OF THE RESEARCH</b>		
4.1	The Description of the Research Data .....	34
4.1.1	Comparison of the Pretest Mean Score in the Experimental and Control Group.....	36
4.2	The Result of the Data Analysis.....	37
4.2.1	Pre Analysis .....	37
4.2.1.1	Normality Test.....	37
4.2.1.2	Homogeneity Variances of the Test .....	38
4.2.1.3	Hypothesis Testing .....	39
<b>CHAPTER V DISCUSSION.....</b>		
<b>CHAPTER VI CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION</b>		
6.1	Conclusion .....	45
6.2	Suggestion.....	45
<b>REFERENCE .....</b>		
<b>47</b>		

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1. The Design of Research .....	21
2 Internal Validity .....	26
3 Criterion of Difficulty Level .....	29
4 Criterion of Discriminating Power .....	30
5 Descriptive Statistics of Students' Pretest in Experimental and Control Group .....	35
6 Descriptive Statistics of Students' Posttest in Experimental and Control Group .....	35
7 Independent Sample t Test of Pretest in Experimental and Control Group ...	36
8 Normality of Pretest and Posttest in Experimental and Control Group .....	38
9 Homogeneity of Pretest and Posttest of Experimental and Control Group ...	38
10 Mann Whitney Test of Experimental and Control Group in the Posttest ....	40

## LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix	Page
1. Research Matrix .....	49
2. Lesson Plan of Control Group .....	50
3. Lesson Plane of Experimental Group .....	58
4. Tryout Test.....	67
5. Pretest and Posttest .....	82
6. Key Answer .....	90
7. Syllabus.....	92
8. Blue Print .....	96
9. Students' Score in Experimental group Pretest and Posttest .....	97
10. Students' Score in Control group Pretest and Posttest.....	98
11. Analysis of the Item Test .....	99
12. Upper and Lower Group .....	100
13. Difficulty Level and Descriminating Power .....	101
14. Validity External of Tryout Test.....	102
15. External Validity of Tryout Test.....	103
16. Validity External of Pretest and posttest.....	104
17. External Validity in Pretest and Posttest.....	105
18. Split Half of Coefficient.....	106
19. Reliability.....	107
20. Attendance List of VIIA (Experimental Group).....	108
21. Attendance List of VIIB (Control Group) .....	109
22. Data Analysis Statistics.....	110
23. Statement of originality of Sarjana Thesis.....	111
24. Curriculum Vitae .....	112

### **Daftar Hadir Seminar**

### **Surat Keterangan Penelitian**

## REFERENCES

- Arifin Zainal. 2011. *Evaluasi Pembelajaran Prinsip Teknik Prosedure*. Bandung. FT.Remaja Rosdakarya
- Arikuntoro, Suharmisi. 2013. *Prosedure Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek*. Jakarta : PT Rineka Cipta
- Ary, Donald. 2010. *Introduction to Research in Education (8th Ed)*. United State: Wadsworth.
- Baker Mona. 1992. *In order Word a coursebook on translation*. London. Routledge Taylor and Francis Group.
- Hariyanto & Basuki, Ismet. 2015. *Asesmen Pembelajaran*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Harmer Jeremy. 2002. *The Practice of English Language Teaching Fourth Edition*. England: Pearson longman
- Heaton, J. B. (1990). *Writing English Language Tests*. London: Longman Group UK Limited
- Hughes, A. 2003. *Testing for Language Teacher*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ina V.S Mullis, Michael O. Martin, Pierre Foy, and Kethleen T. Drucker. 2012. *PILRS 2011 International Result Reading. United states*. TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center.
- Jenkins Margaret H. 22009. *The Effects Of Using Mental Imagery As A Comprehension Strategy For Middle School Students Reading Science Expository Texts*. ([http://drum.lib.umd.edu/bitstream/handle/1903/9551/Jenkins\\_umd\\_0117E\\_10579.pdf;sequence=1](http://drum.lib.umd.edu/bitstream/handle/1903/9551/Jenkins_umd_0117E_10579.pdf;sequence=1))
- Kane S Thomas. 2000. *The Oxford Essential Guide for Writing*. New York: Oxford University Press
- Linse Caroline, David Nunan. 2005. *Practical English Language Teaching Young Learners*. New York: Mc Grow Hill Company.



- Moreillon Judi. 2007. *Collaborative Strategies for Teaching Reading Comprehension Maximizing your Impact*. Chicago: American librarian association.
- Mursyid M. *Learning Descriptive Text. English Learning Handout*.
- Nargis Sultana M. 2014. *Sensory Input and Mental Imagery in Second Language Acquisition*.  
(<http://utdr.utoledo.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2808&context=theses-dissertations>)
- Purwanto, Ngalim. 2010. *Prinsip – Prinsip dan Teknik Evaluasi Pengajaran*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Richard C Jack, Richard Schmidt. 2002. *Longman Dictionary Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, New York: Pearson Education.
- Shehu, I. (2005 e-ISSN: 18557-8187 P-ISSN: 1857-8179). *Factors that influence students' Reading comprehension*. Phd, European university of Tirana, Albania, volume 4, issue 3.
- Siska Haryati, 2012. *The Effect Of Using Sensory Images Strategy On Reading Comprehension At The Second Year Students Of State Islamic Junior High School Ranah Kampar*. (<http://repository.uin-suska.ac.id/9478/>)
- Siahaan Sanggam. 2008. *The English Paragraph*. Yogyakarta. Graha Ilmu.
- Smith Nila. 1980. *Reading Instruction for Today's Children*. England: prentice hall.
- Sujarweni V Wiratmaja. 2015. *SPSS Untuk Penelitian*. Yogyakarta. Pustaka Baru Press.
- Ur Penny. 1999. *A Course in Language Teaching*. Cambridge: University Press.
- Woolley Gary. 2011. *Reading Comprehension Assisting Children with Learning Difficulties*. New York: spinger.