



nikmatur rohmah <nikmaturrohmah@unmuhjember.ac.id>

BMC Public Health: Invitation from Dr Leichter to review a manuscript

1 pesan

BMC Public Health <do-not-reply@springernature.com>
Kepada: nikmaturrohmah@unmuhjember.ac.id

18 Oktober 2022 pukul 20.54

****The contents of this email are confidential.****

Ref: Submission ID 504fbdb4-854a-4dc5-8642-4545e7508507

Dear Dr Rohmah,

BMC Public Health has received a manuscript that I'd like to invite you to review, as you have published related work yourself. You'll find the details appended underneath this email.

Please accept or decline the manuscript using the link below. Should you choose to decline, you'll be given the option to recommend alternative reviewers, which would be greatly appreciated.

Kind regards,

Jami Leichter
Editorial Board Member
BMC Public Health

To accept or decline the manuscript, please use this link:

<https://reviewer-feedback.nature.com/review-invitation/fa833e1c-e887-419a-beb3-55ae40d8004b>

If you wish to contact us about the manuscript, please email bmcpublichealth@biomedcentral.com.

Submission details

Authors:

Satyajit Kundu, Subarna Kundu, Md. Ashfikur Rahman, Humayun Kabir, Md. Hasan Al Banna, Saurav Basu, Ahmed Hossain

Title:

"Prevalence and determinants of contraceptive method use among Bangladeshi women of reproductive age: A multilevel multinomial analysis"

Abstract:

Background: Much scholarly debate has centered on Bangladesh's family planning program (FPP) in lowering the country's fertility rate. Using the 2017-18 BDHS data, this study finds the prevalence of using contraceptive methods and highlights factors that explain the contraceptive methods use.

Methods: The study used data from the 2017-18 Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, which included 11,452 (weighted) women aged 15-49 years in the analysis. Multilevel multinomial logistic regression was used to identify the factors associated with the contraceptive method use.

Results: The prevalence of using modern contraceptive methods was 72.16%, while 14.58% of women used traditional methods in Bangladesh. In comparison to women in the 15-24 years age group, older women (35-49 years) were more unwilling to use modern contraceptive methods (RRR: 0.28, 95% CI: 0.21-0.37). Women who had at least a living child were more likely to use both traditional and modern contraceptive methods (RRR: 4.37, 95% CI: 3.12-6.11), and given birth in the previous 5 years influenced women 2.41 times more to use modern method compared to those who had not given birth (RRR: 2.41, 95% CI: 1.65-3.52). Husbands'/partners' decision for using/not using contraception were positively associated with the use of both traditional (RRR: 4.49, 95% CI: 3.04-6.63) and modern methods (RRR: 3.01, 95% CI: 2.15-4.17) rather than using no method. This study suggests rural participants were 21% less likely to utilize modern methods than urban participants (RRR: 0.79, 95% CI: 0.67-0.94).

Conclusion: Bangladesh remains a focus for contraceptive use, as it is one of the most populous countries in South Asia. To lower the fertility rate, policymakers may design interventions to improve awareness among uneducated, and rural reproductive women about family planning and fertility control initiatives. The study also highlights the importance of male partners making decisions regarding women's contraceptive use.

To accept or decline the manuscript, please use this link:

<https://reviewer-feedback.nature.com/review-invitation/fa833e1c-e887-419a-beb3-55ae40d8004b>

Reviewing for BMC Public Health

BMC Public Health is committed to providing a rapid and fair review process. So, if you decide to accept the manuscript, we would hope to receive your report within 10 days.

We operate a transparent peer review process, which means we will publish your report with the article under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0 License. For even greater transparency, you can opt to have your name included on your review. You will be given this option when you submit your report.

The editorial board and publishing team of BMC Public Health are not able to anticipate all potential competing interests, so we ask you to draw our attention to anything that might affect your review, and to decline submissions where it may be hard to remain objective.

If you review this manuscript you will be eligible for a 15% discount on one article processing charge for a manuscript submitted to one of the subject-specific journals in the BMC series (<http://www.biomedcentral.com/p/the-bmc-series-journals#journalist>) or BMC Research Notes. This must be claimed on submission of the manuscript and is available for one year from completion of your review. Only one discount can be claimed on a submitted manuscript and cannot be combined with any other discounts.

If you would prefer us not to contact you in the future, please let us know by emailing bmcpublichealth@biomedcentral.com.

Title page

Prevalence and determinants of contraceptive method use among Bangladeshi women of reproductive age: A multilevel multinomial analysis

Satyajit Kundu^{1, 2, *}, Subarna Kundu³, Md. Ashfikur Rahman⁴, Humayun Kabir⁵, Md. Hasan Al Banna⁶, Saurav Basu⁷, Ahmed Hossain⁸

¹ Faculty of Nutrition and Food Science, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Patuakhali, Bangladesh.

² School of Public Health, Southeast University, Nanjing 210009, China.

Email: satyajitnfs@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9610-1479>

³ Statistics Discipline, Khulna University, Khulna 9208, Bangladesh.

Email: subarnastat@gmail.com

⁴ Development Studies Discipline, Khulna University, Khulna 9208, Bangladesh.

Email: ashfikur@ku.ac.bd

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5517-8557>

⁵ Department of Public Health, North South University, Dhaka 1229, Bangladesh.

Email: humayun.kabir03@northsouth.edu

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3278-6584>

⁶ Department of Food Microbiology, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Patuakhali 8602, Bangladesh.

Email: banna.nfs.pstu@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2164-3414>

⁷ Indian Institute of Public Health, New Delhi 122002, India

Email: saurav.basu@iiphd.org

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1336-8720>

⁸ Department of Public Health, North South University, Dhaka 1229, Bangladesh.

Email: ahmed.hossain@northsouth.edu

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4575-1273>

*Corresponding author:

Satyajit Kundu

Email: satyajitnfs@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9610-1479>

Abstract

Background: Much scholarly debate has centered on Bangladesh's family planning program (FPP) in lowering the country's fertility rate. Using the 2017-18 BDHS data, this study finds the prevalence of using contraceptive methods and highlights factors that explain the contraceptive methods use.

Methods: The study used data from the 2017-18 Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey, which included 11,452 (weighted) women aged 15-49 years in the analysis. Multilevel multinomial logistic regression was used to identify the factors associated with the contraceptive method use.

Results: The prevalence of using modern contraceptive methods was 72.16%, while 14.58% of women used traditional methods in Bangladesh. In comparison to women in the 15-24 years age group, older women (35-49 years) were more unwilling to use modern contraceptive methods (RRR: 0.28, 95% CI: 0.21-0.37). Women who had at least a living child were more likely to use both traditional and modern contraceptive methods (RRR: 4.37, 95% CI: 3.12-6.11), and given birth in the previous 5 years influenced women 2.41 times more to use modern method compared to those who had not given birth (RRR: 2.41, 95% CI: 1.65-3.52). Husbands'/partners' decision for using/not using contraception were positively associated with the use of both traditional (RRR: 4.49, 95% CI: 3.04-6.63) and modern methods (RRR: 3.01, 95% CI: 2.15-4.17) rather than using no method. This study suggests rural participants were 21% less likely to utilize modern methods than urban participants (RRR: 0.79, 95% CI: 0.67-0.94).

Conclusion: Bangladesh remains a focus for contraceptive use, as it is one of the most populous countries in South Asia. To lower the fertility rate, policymakers may design interventions to

Commented [H1]: Put it in "method:"

Commented [H2]: The choice of diction "finds" gives rise to a double meaning, have you found any results? Or aim will find? We recommend using "the purpose of this research..."

Commented [H3]: The information in this sentence is not clear because it is too long.

Commented [H4]: The conclusion does not describe the overall results of the study.

improve awareness among **uneducated**, and rural reproductive women about family planning and fertility control initiatives. The study also highlights the importance of **male partners making decisions regarding women's contraceptive use**.

Commented [H5]: The researcher does not discuss education in the research results paragraph.

Keywords: Prevalence, contraception use, multilevel multinomial, Bangladesh, BDHS

Plain Language Summary

Despite the declining of total fertility rate (TFR) in Bangladesh has been observed, special attention should be paid to **the use of contraceptives** by women of reproductive age in Bangladesh. However, because there is a dearth of studies on this topic to date, we aimed to assess the prevalence of contraceptive method use as well as to identify the determinants of using contraceptive method among Bangladeshi women aged between 15 to 49 years. Using the latest demographic and health survey (BDHS) data, this study found that 72.16% of women used modern contraceptive method, while 14.58% of women used traditional methods in Bangladesh. Older women (35-49 years) and women from rural women were more unwilling to use modern contraceptive methods. Women who had at least a living child were more likely to use both traditional and modern contraceptive methods, and given birth in the previous 5 years influenced women 2.41 times more to use modern method compared to those who had not given birth. Husbands'/partners' decision for using/not using contraception were positively associated with the use of both traditional and modern methods rather than using no method. The findings of this study suggest that appropriate intervention design is needed to promote the use of modern contraceptive method among Bangladeshi women to curve the total fertility rate.

Commented [H6]: The results showed that the husband/partner had a positive relationship with the decision on traditional contraception. The advice should be to pay attention to the results of research, should husbands support the use of traditional contraceptives? or modern?

Commented [H7]: Shouldn't the researcher also briefly explain the "problems" of using modern and traditional contraceptives?

Introduction

In many countries around the world, sexual and reproductive health (SRH) is a serious public health issue, particularly for women [1]. SRH care is referenced in Goal 3 of the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which aims to ensure universal access to sexual and reproductive healthcare services, including family planning, information, and education [1]. Contraception is an unique among medical interventions in terms of the breadth of its good consequences and effectiveness as a method of FP and fertility control, conducive for the betterment of the mother and child the health [2–4]. While family planning (FP) is acknowledged as one of the century's greatest public health successes, and global acceptance is growing [5]. It has been regarded as one of just a few sustainable, cost-effective interventions that can have an instant impact on women and their families and reach far beyond the individual level [6]. As a result of its inclusion in the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as an indicator for tracking progress on improving maternal health, family planning helps protect women from high-risk pregnancies, unsafe abortions, reproductive tract infections, and sexually transmitted infections (STIs), including HIV/AIDS [7,8].

At least 200 million women around the world want to utilize family planning that is both safe and effective however, they are unable to do so, resulting in undesired pregnancies [5]. Abortion is performed on more than 50 million of the 190 million women who fall pregnant each year. Increased contraceptive use in poor nations has resulted in a 40% reduction in maternal fatalities over the last two decades just by reducing the number of unintended pregnancies [9]. However, in Bangladesh, the rising trend in contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) has paused (e.g., 61.0% in 2011, 62.4% in 2014, and 62.0% in 2017), while the lowering trend in total fertility rate (TFR) has also stalled (2.3 children per woman from 2011 to 2017) [10]. The Government of Bangladesh has

Commented [H8]: Overall, the researcher has explained the problems and impacts of the problem of using contraception, but requires stronger information on the problem according to the type of modern/traditional contraception.

set a goal of increasing CPR by 75% by 2021, achieving a below replacement level of fertility (i.e., less than 2.1 children per woman), in order to halt population growth and further enhance mother and child health [11]. Despite the declining TFR in Bangladesh has been observed, special attention should be paid to the use of contraceptives by women of reproductive age in this country, as Bangladesh still has a long way to go to reach the target CPR level of more than 70% [12]. To sustain the CPR's upward trend by addressing contraceptive use hurdles, the Government of Bangladesh's family planning initiatives must adopt an evidence-based pragmatic approach. Additional efforts are needed to boost CPR and by identifying the factors of using contraceptive methods that have a significant impact on CPR in Bangladesh, this study may contribute to policymaking to reduce the fertility rate [11].

Several previous Bangladeshi studies identified different sociodemographic factors like women's age, women's educational level, household wealth status, women working status, administrative division, place of residence, religion, number of household members, breastfeeding practice, husband's education are significantly associated with contraceptive use [4,13]. While a growing number of literature suggests that parity, autonomy, desire for children, partner communication have been linked to the use of contraceptives [14–16]. Evidence also shows a significant association between couples' joint participation in household decision-making and contraceptive use in Bangladesh [17]. Promoting and increasing the contraceptive prevalence rate among women of reproductive age has also been demonstrated to be an effective public health strategy for improving maternal and child health outcomes [18,19]. Numerous studies demonstrate that increasing CPR directly reduces maternal mortality by reducing unwanted pregnancies, teenage pregnancies, unsafe abortions, and high-risk pregnancies, as well as allowing for pregnancies to be spaced [20,21].

While several studies have looked at the factors that influence modern contraceptive use only, determinants of using both traditional and modern methods among Bangladeshi women have not been investigated as per our knowledge. There is a need to better understand the factors that are associated with the use of contraceptives, as the use of contraceptives is suboptimal [22]. Besides, a periodic inspection of prevalence and risk factors is required in order to track its current situation, since high CPR is always expected for controlling births for populous counties like Bangladesh. Therefore, this study would be an addition to fulfill the research gaps. Consequently, this study investigates the prevalence of use of both modern and traditional contraceptive methods and their associated determinants among women of reproductive age in Bangladesh by applying multilevel multinomial logistic regression analysis.

Commented [H9]: Do researchers need to explain studies that only study modern contraception? The previous paragraph only informs the use of contraceptives without explaining the types of modern/traditional contraceptives.

Commented [H10]: The statement in the preceding paragraph focuses on the use of contraceptives. Researchers need to explain in the previous paragraph about the problem/gap in the use of modern/traditional contraceptives. The statement of purpose in this sentence requires the support of relevant previous study data.

Commented [H11]: Describe the research method.

Commented [H12]: clear

Methods

Data source

We used a secondary data set of the most recent Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS) 2017/18 for this study. The survey was carried out from October 2017 to March 2018 under the National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), and Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Bangladesh to ascertain the population's health status. An overview of the ethical procedure, survey procedure, methodology, sampling, and survey tools could be found in the final report of the BDHS 2017/18 which is publicly available [23].

Sampling and study design

The sampling design of BDHS 2017/18 consisted of a two-stage stratified approach to select the households from a list of enumeration areas (EAs) [23]. Initially, a total of 675 EAs or clusters were selected in this survey as primary sampling units (PSUs). Then, a total of 20,250 households (6810 in urban and 13440 in rural) were selected from these PSUs using the stratified sampling

method [23]. The married women of reproductive age (15 to 49 years) were interviewed to collect information on the use of contraception methods [23]. From the selected households, 20,376 eligible women were selected for individual interviews from which 20,127 completed their interviews with a response rate of 98.8%. The exclusion criteria of selecting participants have been shown in **Fig. 1**. Finally, a weighted sample of 11,452 (unweighted 11,523) women who gave information on their contraceptive use was included in the final analysis of this study.

Outcome measure

Method of contraceptive use among married, non-pregnant Bangladeshi women of reproductive age was the primary outcome variable of this study. The contraceptive methods were categorized into 3 groups: using no method (coded as 0), using the traditional method (coded as 1), and using the modern method (coded as 2). It was assessed based on the participants' self-report of using contraceptives at the time of the survey by asking the following question: "Are you currently doing something or using any method to delay or avoid getting pregnant?". Those who responded positively were then asked to indicate the specific method they were using individually or in concurrence with their partner [23]. The methods were considered modern when participants used female sterilization, injections, implant/norplant, pills, intrauterine device (IUD), injection, emergency contraception, female condom, and lactational amenorrhea method (LAM). While the traditional methods included periodic abstinence and withdrawal [23,24].

Explanatory variables

The explanatory variables for contraceptive utilization among women were selected on the basis of previous literatures [13,17,25,26] and the availability of the variables in the BDHS 2017-18 dataset. The explanatory variables along with their categories have been shown in **Table 1**.

Statistical analysis

Both unweighted and weighted frequencies and percentages were calculated to show the background characteristics of study participants. Considering the complex survey of BDHS, we used the “svy” command in STATA version 17.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) for assigning the sample weight to adjust for clustering effect and sample stratification. Since the BDHS 2017/18 used a two-stage stratified cluster sampling having a hierarchical composition, a single-level analysis would not be suitable for analyzing such a data set [27]. Thus, to reduce the cluster effect, the multilevel multinomial regression model was used to identify the association between outcome and explanatory variables where clusters (EAs) were considered as level-2 factors. We used generalized structural equation modeling (GSEM) using the “gsem” command in STATA to estimate the model. The GSEM model assessed the fixed effects of various explanatory variables and also assessed random effects at the cluster level. Multicollinearity among explanatory variables was checked using variance inflation factor (VIF). The adjusted relative risk ratio (RRR) along with 95% confidence interval (CI) were interpreted and statistical significance was considered when a p-value was less than 0.05.

Results

Background characteristics of study participants are presented in **Table 2**. A total of 11,452 (weighted) participants were included in the present study. The majority of the women (38.82%) were aged between 25 and 34 years, and 41.23% completed secondary education. Half of them (50.51%) were employed, and 56.20% had 1 to 2 living children. More than half of them (57.73%) had no birth in 5 years. More than two-thirds of them (78.36%) use contraception based on the joint decision. The women do not want to take more children was 65.01%. The maximum of them (42.21%) was rich in wealth household index, and almost one-third (69.35%) of them was from

Commented [H13]: Researchers repeat the data presented in the table.

rural areas. Women from the Dhaka division were the highest (26.58%) participants. We found that the prevalence of using modern, and traditional contraceptive methods among Bangladeshi women were 72.16% and 14.58%, respectively. While about 13% of participants did not use any contraceptive method (**Fig. 2**).

The variations in using contraception methods among Bangladeshi women by the selected individual, household, and community variables are presented in **Table 3**. Looking at the bivariate association, all the explanatory variables except the place of residence were significantly associated with the use of contraceptive methods of women. Women's age was significantly associated with different contraception methods use (p -value <0.001). The prevalence of the modern method use was highest (77.51%) among the women 25-34 years old. The use of the traditional method was highest (25.77%) among the women 35-49 years of old. The prevalence of no method use was significantly higher (14.94% vs. 11.63%, p -value <0.001) in the employed women. The prevalence of using the traditional method was significantly higher (18.20% vs. 13.64, p -value <0.001) among the women who had a pregnancy termination. Besides, the modern method used was significantly higher (73.34% vs. 67.58%, p -value <0.001) for women who did not have a pregnancy termination. The prevalence of the modern method use was significantly higher (78.23% vs. 60.88%, p -value <0.001) among women who do not want more children. The traditional method use was significantly highest (15.13%) among the women from wealthy families, and the modern method use was highest (73.28%) among the women from poor families.

In **Table 4**, results from the multilevel multinomial regression model show the likelihood (presented as relative risk ratio [RRR]) of using traditional, and modern contraceptive methods relative to using no contraceptive method. Table 4 also presents the random effects (measured as variance) at the cluster level. Compared to the younger aged women (15-24 years), the older aged

women, 25-34 years, had significantly 49% (RRR: 0.51, CI: 0.39, 0.66) lower possibilities of using the traditional contraceptive method, while women aged 25-34 years and 35-49 years were 53% (RRR: 0.47, CI: 0.39, 0.56) and 72% (RRR: 0.28, CI: 0.21, 0.37) less likely to use the modern contraceptive method, respectively. Women with secondary education were 30% (RRR: 0.70, CI: 0.51, 0.97) less likely to use the traditional method compared to those having no education. However, the likelihood of traditional method use was 45% (RRR: 1.45, CI: 1.02, 2.05) higher among those whose husbands' education was higher compared to illiterate husbands.

Women who had at least a living child were 3.13 (RRR: 3.13, CI: 2.21, 4.45) times more likely to use the traditional method compared to the women who had no living child. Moreover, the likelihood of using the modern method was 3.54 (RRR: 3.54, CI: 2.81, 4.47) times higher among the women who had at least a living child compared to those who had no living child. Women who ever experienced terminated pregnancy were 23% (RRR: 0.77, CI: 0.63, 0.93) and 36% (RRR: 0.64, CI: 0.55, 0.75) less likely to use the traditional and modern method, respectively, compared to those who didn't experience terminated pregnancy ever. Women giving one birth in the last 5 years were 1.52 (RRR: 1.52, CI: 1.21, 1.90) times more likely to use the traditional method compared to those who had no birth. Similarly, women who gave one birth, and two or more birth were 2.49 (RRR: 2.49, CI: 2.07, 2.98) and 2.41 (RRR: 2.41, CI: 1.56, 3.52) times more prone to use the modern method (**Table 4**).

The likelihood of using traditional and modern methods were 4.49 (RRR: 4.49, CI: 3.04, 6.63) times and 3.01 (RRR: 3.01, CI: 2.15, 4.17) times higher, respectively, while the decision to use contraception depended on husband/partner compared to the decision taken by women mainly. When the decision was taken jointly, the likelihood of using the traditional and modern method was 2.34 (RRR: 2.34, CI: 1.86, 2.94) times and 1.78 (RRR: 1.78, CI: 1.48, 2.15) times higher,

respectively. The women who did not want to take more children were 18.10 (RRR: 18.10, CI: 13.87, 23.61) times and 20.16 (RRR: 20.16, CI: 16.19, 25.12) times more likely to use the traditional and modern method, respectively than those with a desire for more children. Women knowing the ovulatory cycle were 1.93 (RRR: 1.93, CI: 1.63, 2.29) times and 1.19 (RRR: 1.19, CI: 1.04, 1.38) times more likely to use the traditional and modern method, respectively, than those who had not. The rural women were 21% (RRR: 0.79, CI: 0.67, 0.94) less likely to use the modern method than women from urban areas. Compared to the women from the Barisal division, the likelihood of using the modern method was 72% (RRR: 0.68, CI: 0.48, 0.95) less among the women from the Sylhet division. Finally, the cluster random effect was significant for traditional contraceptive method use versus using no method, and for modern contraceptive method versus using no method (Table 4).

Discussion

The study assessed the method of contraceptive use and their predictors among married, non-pregnant Bangladeshi women of the reproductive (15-49 years) age group using the current Bangladesh demographic and health survey dataset (2017-18). Nearly two in three women were below 35 years of age while a majority while only one in four had a high school or higher qualification. The use of modern contraception methods was reported by more than two in three women with the highest use observed in the 25-34 age group, a possible proxy for education, empowerment, and agency in comparison to adolescents and older women.

In this study, education status of the women or even their husbands were not independently associated with the decision for acceptance of modern contraception methods a finding similar to that observed in the previous BDHS (2011-14) [13]. However, globally, several studies amongst LMICs in Asia and Africa suggest increased demand for modern contraceptive methods with

Commented [H14]: 1. There are still many repetition statements from the research results.
2. The presentation of the discussion is not sequential according to the results of the study.

- a.age
 - b.education
 - c.employment status
 - d.husbands' education
 - e.No. of living children
 - f.Ever had determined pregnancy
 - g.birth in the last 5 years
 - h.decision for using/not using contraception
 - i.Desire for more children
 - j.knowledge of ovulatory cycle
 - k.household wealth status
 - l.place of residence
 - m.administrative division
3. Some study results are not discussed.

Recommendation:

1. Give a review in order according to the results of the study.
2. Make sentences in the form of the meaning of the research results.
3. disclose the results of previous studies that are relevant to the research findings.

Commented [H15]: This statement is different from the statement reported in the research results. In the results of the study, it was stated that the education of the woman and the education of her husband was associated with the use of traditional and modern contraception, not the decision to accept contraceptive methods. This paragraph has not discussed the overall research findings.

higher educational status of women that often correlates with their desire for career planning and development [26,28,29]. This anomaly is probably explained by the lower educational status of most women in the dataset, and the culturally acceptable view of family size being a predominantly male decision [30].

Similarly, in this study, the rates of utilization of both traditional and modern contraceptive methods were not independently associated with the household wealth status. This finding contradicts the trend observed in some LMICs in South Asia and Africa in which economic inequalities strongly correlated with inequalities in contraceptive use [25,31]. The positive employment status of the married women also did not indicate any statistically significant increase in their use of any contraception method after adjustment for covariates.

In this study, the use of both modern and traditional methods was reported by the women as primarily a decision by the husband and significantly less likely to be a joint decision. However, similar to the BDHS 2011 round, the practice of spousal joint decision making was observed to increase the likelihood of practicing modern contraceptive methods [17]. This curtailment of female decision-making power in regulating their own fertility suggests the need for women empowerment strategies to accentuate their agency within the ambit of the family planning program, and long-term improvement in their educational and employment opportunities while sensitizing the community towards gender equality in a culturally nuanced manner. Moreover, the focus of the family planning programmes should target men since they have emerged as the primary decision-makers in the Bangladeshi society with regards to determining the family size and the acceptance of contraception by their wives, a finding that corroborates the evidence from the 2014 BDHS survey [13].

Women in this study having 3 or more children were 4.37 times more likely to use MC methods compared to those having no living children, a factor that strongly correlates with the desire to have no more children, indicative of the achievement of desired family size. Previous studies in LMICs also suggest that completion of desired family size is a reliable indicator of willingness to use MC methods [29]. However, one in five women in this group were still using only traditional methods of contraception which have a high risk of failure. Consequently, family planning programs in the country must focus on reducing the unmet need for family planning in this group while encouraging women using traditional contraceptive methods to switch to those modern methods that are compatible with their socio-cultural milieu.

Commented [H16]: Researchers need to add more support from previous studies in this paragraph.

In this study, women with a history of terminated pregnancy were significantly less likely to use any contraceptive method. However, this finding is in contradiction to that in some African countries wherein women with a history of abortion had a higher likelihood of use of modern CP methods [32]. Future research needs to be directed towards understanding the choice of contraception post-abortion and whether suboptimal awareness of the reproductive cycle that was highly prevalent in this study, could play a mediating role in influencing the adoption and type of contraception method.

Commented [H17]: Researchers need to add more support from previous studies in this paragraph.

One of the strengths of this study was using the most recent nationally representative (BDHS 2017-18) data set. And also, this study used the multilevel model to consider the cluster effect on the determinant factors of contraception methods use in Bangladesh which is the appropriate analysis approach for such data set. We identified the determinants of both traditional and modern contraception methods among Bangladeshi women. There are a few study limitations. First, data on some variables such as joint families and the opinion of mothers-in-law regarding contraception use were not captured. Similarly, the opinion of men regarding their acceptance of barrier methods

of contraception by themselves or any modern contraception methods by their female partners was also not included.

Conclusions

The study finds about one-fourth of Bangladeshi women of reproductive age did not use any form of modern contraception. Women's higher education, occupation, and wealth position did not improve their likelihood of using any contraception method on their own. Although the presence of shared decision-making considerably boosted the acceptability of modern contraception technologies, husbands were also the key drivers of decision-making in regulating the fertility of their wives. Women's empowerment for health promotion requires delicate messaging that encourages their participation in planning and deciding on their family size while reducing taboos and misconceptions about modern contraception methods. In addition, effective programs for male partners to diminish mistrust of current contraception technologies should be a top priority.

List of abbreviations

SRH: Sexual and Reproductive Health; SDG: Sustainable Development Goal; FP: Family Planning; STI: Sexually Transmitted Infections; CPR: Contraceptive Prevalence Rate; TFR: Total Fertility Rate; BDHS: Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey; EAs: Enumeration Areas; PSU: Primary Sampling Unit; RRR: Relative Risk ratio; CI: Confidence Interval; WHO: World Health Organization.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We used secondary data set from the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) Programme for this study which is publicly available upon suitable request; therefore, further ethical approval was not

Commented [H18]: Researchers need to explain a summary of what factors determine the use of contraceptives.

Commented [H19]: This statement is different from the results of research which states that "joint decision-making" supports the use of traditional contraceptives more than modern contraceptives.
When the decision was taken jointly, the likelihood of using the traditional and modern method was 2.34 (RRR: 2.34, CI: 1.86, 2.94) times and 1.78 (RRR: 1.78, CI: 1.48, 2.15) times higher, respectively.

required. Details of the ethical procedures followed by the DHS Program can be found in the BDHS report [10]. All the procedures were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Acknowledgements

Authors want to thank Demographic Health Surveys (DHS) for providing the datasets with no cost and permit us for using the data for independent research.

Authors' Contributions

Satyajit Kundu: Conceptualization, methodology, data curation, formal analysis, writing-original draft, writing-review & editing, supervision; **Subarna Kundu:** Data curation, formal analysis, writing-original draft; **Md. Ashfikur Rahman:** Writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; **Humayun Kabir:** Writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; **Md. Hasan Al Banna:** Writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; **Ahmed Hossain:** Writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; **Saurav Basu:** Writing-original draft, writing-review & editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exist.

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) Program dataset of Bangladesh (<https://dhsprogram.com/>) but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of Bangladesh Demographic and Health Surveys (BDHS) Program.

References

- 1 Nguyen TT, Neal S. Contraceptive prevalence and factors influencing utilization among women in Pakistan: a focus on gender-based violence. *Fulbright Rev Econ Policy* 2021;**1**:119–34. doi:10.1108/frep-05-2021-0032
- 2 Rahman M, Haque SE, Zahan S, *et al*. Maternal high-risk fertility behavior and association with chronic undernutrition among children under age 5 y in India, Bangladesh, and Nepal: do poor children have a higher risk? *Nutrition* 2018;**49**:32–40.
- 3 Oye-Adeniran BA, Adewole IF, Umoh A V, *et al*. Community-based study of contraceptive behaviour in Nigeria. *Afr J Reprod Health* 2006;**10**:90–104.
- 4 Haq I, Sakib S, Talukder A. Sociodemographic Factors on Contraceptive Use among Ever-Married Women of Reproductive Age: Evidence from Three Demographic and Health Surveys in Bangladesh. *Med Sci* 2017;**5**:31. doi:10.3390/medsci5040031
- 5 Eo O, Vy A, Va W. Prevalence and determinants of contraceptive use among women of child-bearing age in a rural community in southern Nigeria. *J Community Med Prim Heal Care* 2017;**29**:97–107.
- 6 Tsui AO, McDonald-Mosley R, Burke AE. Family planning and the burden of unintended pregnancies. *Epidemiol Rev* 2010;**32**:152–74.
- 7 Starbird E, Norton M, Marcus R. Investing in family planning: key to achieving the sustainable development goals. *Glob Heal Sci Pract* 2016;**4**:191–210.
- 8 Arbab AA, Bener A, Abdulmalik M. Prevalence, awareness and determinants of contraceptive use in Qatari women. *EMHJ-Eastern Mediterr Heal Journal*, 17 (1), 11-18,

Commented [H20]: Still found references that are not up to date (more than 10 years):

1. the year 2002
2. the year 2003
3. the year 2006
4. the year 2010
5. the year 2011

2011 2011.

- 9 Cleland J, Conde-Agudelo A, Peterson H, *et al.* Contraception and health. *Lancet* 2012;**380**:149–56.
- 10 National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), ICF International. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18. Dhaka, Bangladesh, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: 2020.
- 11 Kamrul Islam M, Rabiul Haque M, Hema PS. Regional variations of contraceptive use in Bangladesh: A disaggregate analysis by place of residence. *PLoS One* 2020;**15**:1–18. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0230143
- 12 National Institute of Population Research, Training, Mitra and Associates and II. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2011. 2013.
- 13 Hossain MB, Khan MHR, Ababneh F, *et al.* Identifying factors influencing contraceptive use in Bangladesh: evidence from BDHS 2014 data. *BMC Public Health* 2018;**18**:1–14.
- 14 Nagase T, Kunii O, Wakai S, *et al.* Obstacles to modern contraceptive use among married women in southern urban Maldives. *Contraception* 2003;**68**:125–34.
- 15 Islam R, Islam AZ, Rahman M. Unmet need for family planning: experience from urban and rural areas in Bangladesh. *Public Heal Res* 2013;**3**:37–42.
- 16 Rahman MM, Islam AZ, Islam MR. Rural-urban differentials of knowledge and practice of contraception in Bangladesh. *J Popul Soc Stud [JPSS]* 2010;**18**:87–110.
- 17 Islam AZ. Factors affecting modern contraceptive use among fecund young women in Bangladesh: does couples' joint participation in household decision making matter? *Reprod Health* 2018;**15**:1–9.
- 18 Seltzer JR. *The origins and evolution of family planning programs in developing countries.* Rand Corporation 2002.
- 19 Ahmed S, Li Q, Liu L, *et al.* Maternal deaths averted by contraceptive use: an analysis of 172 countries. *Lancet* 2012;**380**:111–25.
- 20 World Health Organization. Contraception fact sheet. *Hum Reprod Programme* 2012;:4.

- 21 Nonvignon J, Novignon J. Trend and determinants of contraceptive use among women of reproductive age in Ghana. *African Popul Stud* 2014;;956–67.
- 22 Blackstone SR, Iwelunmor J. Determinants of contraceptive use among Nigerian couples: evidence from the 2013 Demographic and Health Survey. *Contracept Reprod Med* 2017;2:1–8.
- 23 NIPORT and ICF. Mitra and associates. Dhaka, Bangladesh: ICF International. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18. Dhaka, Bangladesh, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NIPORT and ICF: 2020.
- 24 Hubacher D, Trussell J. A definition of modern contraceptive methods. *Contraception* 2015;92:420–1.
- 25 Dagneu GW, Asresie MB, Fekadu GA, *et al.* Modern contraceptive use and factors associated with use among postpartum women in Ethiopia; further analysis of the 2016 Ethiopia demographic and health survey data. *BMC Public Health* 2020;20:1–9.
- 26 Kabagenyi A, Habaasa G, Rutaremwa G. Low contraceptive use among young females in Uganda: does birth history and age at birth have an influence? Analysis of 2011 Demographic and Health Survey. *J Contracept Stud* 2016;1.
- 27 Khan HR, Shaw E. Multilevel logistic regression analysis applied to binary contraceptive prevalence data. *J Data Sci* 2011;9:93–110.
- 28 Liu DH, Raftery AE. How do education and family planning accelerate fertility decline? *Popul Dev Rev* 2020;46:409–41.
- 29 Bakibinga P, Matanda DJ, Ayiko R, *et al.* Pregnancy history and current use of contraception among women of reproductive age in Burundi, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda: analysis of demographic and health survey data. *BMJ Open* 2016;6:e009991.
- 30 Bishwajit G, Tang S, Yaya S, *et al.* Factors associated with male involvement in reproductive care in Bangladesh. *BMC Public Health* 2017;17:1–8.
- 31 Gakidou E, Vayena E. Use of modern contraception by the poor is falling behind. *PLoS Med* 2007;4:e31.








- 32 Morris N, Prata N. Abortion history and its association with current use of modern contraceptive methods in Luanda, Angola. *Open access J Contracept* 2018;**9**:45.

RESEARCH

Open Access



Prevalence and determinants of contraceptive method use among Bangladeshi women of reproductive age: a multilevel multinomial analysis

Satyajit Kundu^{1,2,3*} , Subarna Kundu⁴, Md. Ashfikur Rahman⁵ , Humayun Kabir⁶ , Md. Hasan Al Banna⁷ , Saurav Basu⁸ , Hasan Mahmud Reza⁹  and Ahmed Hossain¹⁰ 

Abstract

Background: Much scholarly debate has centered on Bangladesh's family planning program (FPP) in lowering the country's fertility rate. This study aimed to investigate the prevalence of using modern and traditional contraceptive methods and to determine the factors that explain the contraceptive methods use.

Methods: The study used data from the 2017–18 Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS), which included 11,452 (weighted) women aged 15–49 years in the analysis. Multilevel multinomial logistic regression was used to identify the factors associated with the contraceptive method use.

Results: The prevalence of using modern contraceptive methods was 72.16%, while 14.58% of women used traditional methods in Bangladesh. In comparison to women in the 15–24 years age group, older women (35–49 years) were more unwilling to use modern contraceptive methods (RRR: 0.28, 95% CI: 0.21–0.37). Women who had at least a living child were more likely to use both traditional and modern contraceptive methods (RRR: 4.37, 95% CI: 3.12–6.11). Similarly, given birth in the previous 5 years influenced women 2.41 times more to use modern method compared to those who had not given birth (RRR: 2.41, 95% CI: 1.65–3.52). Husbands'/partners' decision for using/not using contraception were positively associated with the use of both traditional (RRR: 4.49, 95% CI: 3.04–6.63) and modern methods (RRR: 3.01, 95% CI: 2.15–4.17) rather than using no method. This study suggests rural participants were 21% less likely to utilize modern methods than urban participants (RRR: 0.79, 95% CI: 0.67–0.94).

Conclusion: Bangladesh remains a focus for contraceptive use, as it is one of the most populous countries in South Asia. To lower the fertility rate, policymakers may design interventions to improve awareness especially targeting uneducated, and rural reproductive women in Bangladesh. The study also highlights the importance of male partners' decision-making regarding women's contraceptive use.

Keywords: Prevalence, Contraception use, Multilevel multinomial, Bangladesh, BDHS

Introduction

In many countries around the world, sexual and reproductive health (SRH) is a serious public health issue, particularly for women [1]. SRH care is referenced in Goal 3 of the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which aims to ensure universal access to sexual

*Correspondence: satyajitnfs@gmail.com; satyajit.kundu@northsouth.edu

¹ Global Health Institute, North South University, Dhaka 1229, Bangladesh
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



and reproductive healthcare services, including family planning, information, and education [1]. Contraception is a unique among medical interventions in terms of the breadth of its good consequences and effectiveness as a method of FP and fertility control, conducive for the betterment of the mother and child the health [2–4]. While family planning (FP) is acknowledged as one of the century's greatest public health successes, and global acceptance is growing [5]. It has been regarded as one of just a few sustainable, cost-effective interventions that can have an instant impact on women and their families and reach far beyond the individual level [6]. As a result of its inclusion in the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) as an indicator for tracking progress on improving maternal health, family planning helps protect women from high-risk pregnancies, unsafe abortions, reproductive tract infections, and sexually transmitted infections (STIs), including HIV/AIDS [7, 8].

At least 200 million women around the world want to utilize family planning that is both safe and effective however, they are unable to do so, resulting in undesired pregnancies [5]. Abortion is performed on more than 50 million of the 190 million women who fall pregnant each year. Increased contraceptive use in poor nations has resulted in a 40% reduction in maternal fatalities over the last two decades just by reducing the number of unintended pregnancies [9]. However, in Bangladesh, the rising trend in contraceptive prevalence rate (CPR) has paused (e.g., 61.0% in 2011, 62.4% in 2014, and 62.0% in 2017), while the lowering trend in total fertility rate (TFR) has also stalled (2.3 children per woman from 2011 to 2017) [10]. The Government of Bangladesh has set a goal of increasing CPR by 75% by 2021, achieving a below replacement level of fertility (i.e., less than 2.1 children per woman), in order to halt population growth and further enhance mother and child health [11]. Despite the declining TFR in Bangladesh has been observed, special attention should be paid to the use of contraceptives by women of reproductive age in this country, as Bangladesh still has a long way to go to reach the target CPR level of more than 70% [12]. To sustain the CPR's upward trend by addressing contraceptive use hurdles, the Government of Bangladesh's family planning initiatives must adopt an evidence-based pragmatic approach. Additional efforts are needed to boost CPR and by identifying the factors of using contraceptive methods that have a significant impact on CPR in Bangladesh, this study may contribute to policymaking to reduce the fertility rate [11].

Several previous Bangladeshi studies identified different sociodemographic factors like women's age, women's educational level, household wealth status, women working status, administrative division, place of residence,

religion, number of household members, breastfeeding practice, husband's education are significantly associated with contraceptive use [4, 13]. While a growing number of literature suggests that parity, autonomy, desire for children, partner communication [14–16], women amenorrheic status, abstaining status, total children born in last five years, and total children ever died have been linked to the use of contraceptives [13]. Preference for sons was also associated with the use of contraceptives among Bangladeshi women [17]. Evidence also shows a significant association between couples' joint participation in household decision-making and contraceptive use in Bangladesh [18]. A study by Khan et. al., among reproductive aged bangladeshi women reported that having diabetes and hypertension are also linked with the use of contraceptive method, while women having both diabetes and hypertension are more prone to use traditional contraceptive method [19]. Another finding from Bangladesh demonstrates that women's patterns of taking contraception remained unchanged even after experiencing an unexpected pregnancy, while about 54% of women who said they had not taken a contraception before becoming pregnant used modern method after giving birth [20]. Promoting and increasing the contraceptive prevalence rate among women of reproductive age has also been demonstrated to be an effective public health strategy for improving maternal and child health outcomes [21, 22]. Numerous studies demonstrate that increasing CPR directly reduces maternal mortality by reducing unwanted pregnancies, teenage pregnancies, unsafe abortions, and high-risk pregnancies, as well as allowing for pregnancies to be spaced [23, 24].

While several studies have looked at the factors that influence modern contraceptive use only, and very few studies [4, 13, 25] considered both traditional and modern methods; however, these studies applied binary logistic regression after constructing binary outcome variable of current contraception use. Thus, separate determinants of using traditional as well as modern contraception use were not identified among Bangladeshi women.. There is a need to better understand the factors that are associated with the use and choice of method of contraceptives, as the use of contraceptives is suboptimal [26]. Besides, a periodic inspection of prevalence and risk factors is required in order to track its current situation, since high CPR is always expected for controlling births for populous counties like Bangladesh. Therefore, this study would be an addition to fulfill the research gaps. Consequently, this study investigates the prevalence of use of both modern and traditional contraceptive methods and their associated determinants among women of reproductive age in Bangladesh by applying multilevel multinomial logistic regression analysis.

Methods

Data source

We used a secondary data set of the most recent Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey (BDHS) 2017/18 for this study. The survey was carried out from October 2017 to March 2018 under the National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), and Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Bangladesh to ascertain the population’s health status. An overview of the ethical procedure, survey procedure, methodology, sampling, and survey tools could be found in the final report of the BDHS 2017/18 which is publicly available [27].

Sampling and study design

The sampling design of BDHS 2017/18 consisted of a two-stage stratified approach to select the households from a list of enumeration areas (EAs) [27]. Initially, a total of 675 EAs or clusters were selected in this survey as primary sampling units (PSUs). Then, a total of 20,250 households (6,810 in urban and 13,440 in rural) were selected from these PSUs using the stratified sampling method [27]. The married women of reproductive age (15 to 49 years) were interviewed to collect information on the use of contraception methods [27]. From the selected households, 20,376 eligible women were selected for individual interviews from which 20,127 completed their interviews with a response rate of 98.8%. The exclusion criteria of selecting participants have been shown in Fig. 1. Finally, a weighted sample of 11,452 (unweighted 11,523) women who gave information on their contraceptive use was included in the final analysis of this study.

Outcome measure

Method of contraceptive use among married, non-pregnant Bangladeshi women of reproductive age was the primary outcome variable of this study. The contraceptive methods were categorized into 3 groups: using no method (coded as 0), using the traditional method (coded as 1), and using the modern method (coded as 2). It was assessed based on the participants’ self-report of using contraceptives at the time of the survey by asking the following question: “Are you currently doing something or using any method to delay or avoid getting pregnant?”. Those who responded positively were then asked to indicate the specific method they were using individually or in concurrence with their partner [27]. The methods were considered modern when participants used female sterilization, injections, implant/norplant, pills, intrauterine device (IUD), injection, emergency contraception, female condom, and lactational amenorrhea method (LAM). While the traditional methods included periodic abstinence and withdrawal [27, 28].

Explanatory variables

The explanatory variables for contraceptive utilization among women were selected on the basis of previous literatures [13, 18, 29, 30] and the availability of the variables in the BDHS 2017–18 dataset. The explanatory variables along with their categories have been shown in Table 1.

Statistical analysis

Both unweighted and weighted frequencies and percentages were calculated to show the background




Fig. 1 Flow chart of the exclusion criteria and selection of participants (unweighted frequency)

Table 1 Explanatory variables along with their categories

Sl. no	Variables	Categories
1	Age of women (years)	15–24, 25–34, 35–49
2	Education of women	No education, primary, secondary, higher
3	Women employment status	Working, not working
4	Husband's education	No education, primary, secondary, higher, don't know
5	No. of living children	None, 1 – 2, 3 or more
6	Ever had a terminated pregnancy	Yes, no
7	Birth in the last 5 years	No birth, one birth, two or more
8	Decision for using/ not using contraception	Mainly respondents, mainly husband/partner, joint decision
9	Desire for more children	Want more, don't want more
10	Knowledge of ovulatory cycle	Yes, no
11	Household wealth status	Poor, Middle, Rich
12	Place of residence	Rural, Urban
13	Administrative division	Barishal, Chittagong, Dhaka, Khulna, Mymensingh, Rajshahi, Rangpur, Sylhet

characteristics of study participants. Considering the complex survey of BDHS, we used the “svy” command in STATA version 17.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) for assigning the sample weight to adjust for clustering effect and sample stratification. Since the BDHS 2017/18 used a two-stage stratified cluster sampling having a hierarchical composition, a multilevel regression model would be appropriate to consider the cluster variation in the analysis [31]. Thus, to consider the cluster effect in the analysis, the multilevel multinomial regression model was used to identify the association between outcome and explanatory variables where clusters (EAs) were considered as level-2 factors. We used generalized structural equation modeling (GSEM) using the “gsem” command in STATA to estimate the model. The GSEM model assessed the fixed effects of various explanatory variables and also assessed random effects at the cluster level. Multicollinearity among explanatory variables was checked using variance inflation factor (VIF). The adjusted relative risk ratio (RRR) along with 95% confidence interval (CI) were interpreted and statistical significance was considered when a p-value was less than 0.05.

Results

Background characteristics of study participants are presented in Table 2. A total of 11,452 (weighted) participants were included in the present study. The majority of the women (38.8%) were aged between 25 and 34 years, and 41.2% completed secondary education. Half of them (50.5%) were employed, and 56.2% had 1 to 2 living children. More than half of them (57.7%) had no birth in 5 years. More than two-thirds of them (78.4%) use contraception based on the joint decision. The women do not want to take more children

was 65.0%. The maximum of them (42.2%) was rich in wealth household index, and almost one-third (69.4%) of them was from rural areas. Women from the Dhaka division were the highest (26.6%) participants. We found that the prevalence of using modern, and traditional contraceptive methods among Bangladeshi women were 72.2% (95% CI: 70.3–74.0) and 14.6% (95% CI: 12.3–16.7), respectively. While about 13.0% of participants did not use any contraceptive method (Fig. 2).

The variations in using contraception methods among Bangladeshi women by the selected individual, household, and community variables are presented in Table 3. Looking at the bivariate association, all the explanatory variables except the place of residence were significantly associated with the use of contraceptive methods of women.

In Table 4, results from the multilevel multinomial regression model show the likelihood (presented as relative risk ratio [RRR]) of using traditional, and modern contraceptive methods relative to using no contraceptive method. Table 4 also presents the random effects (measured as variance) at the cluster level. Compared to the younger aged women (15–24 years), the older aged women, 25–34 years, had significantly 49% (RRR: 0.51, CI: 0.39, 0.66) lower possibilities of using the traditional contraceptive method, while women aged 25–34 years and 35–49 years were 53% (RRR: 0.47, CI: 0.39, 0.56) and 72% (RRR: 0.28, CI: 0.21, 0.37) less likely to use the modern contraceptive method, respectively. Women with secondary education were 30% (RRR: 0.70, CI: 0.51, 0.97) less likely to use the traditional method compared to those having no education. However, the likelihood of traditional method use was 45%

Table 2 Background characteristics of study participants

Variables	Unweighted		Weighted	
	Frequency	% (SE)	Frequency	% (SE)
Overall	11,523		11,452	
Age of women				
15–24 years	3195	27.7 (0.4)	3244	28.3 (0.4)
25–34 years	4492	39.0 (0.5)	4445	38.8 (0.5)
35–49 years	3836	33.3 (0.4)	3763	32.9 (0.4)
Education of women				
No education	1463	12.7 (0.3)	1542	13.5 (0.3)
Primary	3581	31.1 (0.4)	3580	31.3 (0.4)
Secondary	4664	40.5 (0.5)	4722	41.2 (0.4)
Higher	1815	15.8 (0.3)	1607	14.0 (0.3)
Women employment status				
Working	5778	50.1 (0.5)	5784	50.5 (0.5)
Not working	5745	49.9 (0.5)	5668	49.5 (0.5)
Husbands' education				
No education	2322	20.2 (0.3)	2356	20.6 (0.3)
Primary	3631	31.5 (0.4)	3714	32.4 (0.4)
Secondary	3325	28.9 (0.4)	3344	29.2 (0.4)
Higher	2227	19.3 (0.3)	2015	17.6 (0.3)
Don't know	18	0.2 (0.0)	23	0.2 (0.0)
No. of living children				
None	970	8.4 (0.2)	985	8.6 (0.2)
1 – 2	6538	56.7 (0.5)	6436	56.2 (0.5)
3 or more	4015	34.8 (0.4)	4031	35.2 (0.4)
Ever had a terminated pregnancy				
Yes	2453	21.3 (0.3)	2354	20.6 (0.4)
No	9070	78.7 (0.4)	9098	79.4 (0.4)
Birth in the last 5 years				
No birth	6659	57.8 (0.5)	6611	57.7 (0.5)
One birth	4231	36.7 (0.4)	4226	36.9 (0.4)
Two or more	633	5.5 (0.2)	614	5.4 (0.2)
Decision for using/not using contraception				
Mainly respondents	1730	15.0 (0.3)	1736	15.2 (0.3)
Mainly husband/ partner	743	6.5 (0.2)	742	6.5 (0.2)
Joint decision	9050	78.5 (0.4)	8974	78.4 (0.4)
Desire for more children				
Want more	4000	34.7 (0.4)	4007	35.0 (0.4)
Don't want more	7523	65.3 (0.4)	7445	65.0 (0.5)
Knowledge of ovulatory cycle				
Yes	4245	36.8 (0.4)	4140	36.2 (0.4)
No	7278	63.2 (0.5)	7312	63.9 (0.5)
Household wealth status				
Poor	4403	38.2 (0.4)	4408	38.5 (0.4)
Middle	2135	18.5 (0.3)	2209	19.3 (0.3)
Rich	4985	43.3 (0.5)	4834	42.2 (0.4)
Place of residence				
Rural	7057	61.2 (0.4)	7942	69.4 (0.4)
Urban	4466	38.8 (0.4)	3510	30.7 (0.4)

Table 2 (continued)

Variables	Unweighted		Weighted	
	Frequency	% (SE)	Frequency	% (SE)
Administrative division				
Barisal	1156	10.0 (0.3)	595	5.2 (0.2)
Chittagong	1457	12.6 (0.3)	1783	15.6 (0.3)
Dhaka	1800	15.6 (0.3)	3044	26.6 (0.4)
Khulna	1528	13.3 (0.3)	1332	11.6 (0.3)
Mymensingh	1367	11.9 (0.3)	973	8.5 (0.2)
Rajshahi	1563	13.6 (0.3)	1677	14.6 (0.3)
Rangpur	1522	13.2 (0.3)	1450	12.7 (0.3)
Sylhet	1130	9.8 (0.2)	597	5.2 (0.2)
SE Standard Error				

(RRR: 1.45, CI: 1.02, 2.05) higher among those whose husbands' education was higher compared to illiterate husbands.

Women who had at least a living child were 3.13 (RRR: 3.13, CI: 2.21, 4.45) times more likely to use the traditional method compared to the women who had no living child. Moreover, the likelihood of using the modern method was 3.54 (RRR: 3.54, CI: 2.81, 4.47) times higher among the women who had at least a living child compared to those who had no living child. Women who ever experienced terminated pregnancy were 23% (RRR: 0.77, CI: 0.63, 0.93) and 36% (RRR: 0.64, CI: 0.55, 0.75) less likely to use the traditional and modern method, respectively, compared to those who didn't experience terminated pregnancy ever. Women giving one birth in the last 5 years were 1.52 (RRR: 1.52, CI: 1.21, 1.90) times more likely to use the traditional method compared to those who had no birth. Similarly, women who gave one birth, and two or more birth were 2.49 (RRR: 2.49, CI: 2.07, 2.98) and 2.41 (RRR: 2.41, CI: 1.56, 3.52) times more prone to use the modern method (Table 4).

The likelihood of using traditional and modern methods were 4.49 (RRR: 4.49, CI: 3.04, 6.63) times and 3.01 (RRR: 3.01, CI: 2.15, 4.17) times higher, respectively, while the decision to use contraception depended on husband/partner compared to the decision taken by women mainly. When the decision was taken jointly, the likelihood of using the traditional and modern method was 2.34 (RRR: 2.34, CI: 1.86, 2.94) times and 1.78 (RRR: 1.78, CI: 1.48, 2.15) times higher, respectively. The women who did not want to take more children were 18.10 (RRR: 18.10, CI: 13.87, 23.61) times and 20.16 (RRR: 20.16, CI: 16.19, 25.12) times more likely to use the traditional and modern method, respectively than those with a desire for more children. Women knowing the ovulatory cycle were 1.93 (RRR: 1.93, CI: 1.63, 2.29) times and 1.19 (RRR: 1.19,




Fig. 2 Prevalence of type of contraceptive method used by Bangladeshi women

CI: 1.04, 1.38) times more likely to use the traditional and modern method, respectively, than those who had not. The rural women were 21% (RRR: 0.79, CI: 0.67, 0.94) less likely to use the modern method than women from urban areas. Compared to the women from the Barisal division, the likelihood of using the modern method was 72% (RRR: 0.68, CI: 0.48, 0.95) less among the women from the Sylhet division. Finally, the cluster random effect was significant for traditional contraceptive method use versus using no method, and for modern contraceptive method versus using no method (Table 4).

Discussion

The study assessed the method of contraceptive use and their predictors among married, non-pregnant Bangladeshi women of the reproductive (15–49 years) age group using the current Bangladesh demographic and health survey dataset (2017–18). In this study, we found 72% of participants were using modern contraceptive method, indicating an increase from 62% found in 2014 among Bangladeshi women [13]. Similar to the modern method, use of traditional contraceptive method is also increased to 14.6% in this study from 8.1% found in 2014 [13], while about 37% Bangladeshi women didn't use any contraceptive method in 2014 [13], and this figure has reduced to 13% in the present study. Since Bangladesh aimed to have a 75% contraceptive prevalence by 2020, the Health, Population and Nutrition Sector Development Program (HPNSDP) of Bangladesh established strategic goals to enhance the general use of family planning by making family planning services available, acceptable, and cheap

to all men and women of reproductive age [32, 33]. These could be plausible reasons of increasing use of contraceptive method in Bangladesh.

The use of modern contraception methods was reported by more than two in three women with the highest use observed in the 25–34 age group. According to a previous study [34], young girls and women are more likely to plan to take contraception which corroborates the findings of the present study. The fact that young women may not intent to be pregnant early, while many older women are not sexually active or have reduced their coital frequency may be connected to older women's decreased propensity to utilize contraception [34]. Sexually active female adolescents from other LMICs in Asia and Africa also frequently experience societal and health system barriers in accessing contraception that is linked to unwanted pregnancies and adverse pregnancy outcomes [35, 36].

In this study, education status of the women or even their husbands were not independently associated with the decision for acceptance of modern contraception methods a finding similar to that observed in the previous BDHS (2011–14) [13]. However, globally, several studies amongst LMICs in Asia and Africa suggest increased demand for modern contraceptive methods with higher educational status of women that often correlates with their desire for career planning and development [30, 37, 38]. Moreover, a previous study from Nepal [39] suggests that substantially higher educational status of husbands compared to wives is an independent predictor for their acceptance of condoms for routine contraception

Table 3 Variations in patterns of using contraception methods among Bangladeshi women by selected explanatory variables

Variables	Contraceptive method (weighted %, row)			P value
	No method	Traditional method	Modern method	
Overall prevalence (95% CI)	13.3 (11.4–15.1)	14.6 (12.3–16.7)	72.2 (70.3–74.2)	
Age of women				
15–24 years	21.9	7.7	70.4	< 0.001
25–34 years	12.4	10.1	77.5	
35–49 years	6.9	25.8	67.3	
Education of women				
No education	6.9	23.1	70.0	< 0.001
Primary	11.3	15.9	72.9	
Secondary	15.2	10.9	74.0	
Higher	18.2	14.4	67.4	
Women employment status				
Yes	14.9	14.0	71.1	< 0.001
No	11.6	15.2	73.2	
Husbands' education				
No education	8.9	17.9	73.2	< 0.001
Primary	13.0	13.0	74.0	
Secondary	15.4	12.5	72.1	
Higher	14.9	17.1	68.0	
Don't know	44.2	15.0	40.8	
No. of living children				
None	52.4	8.1	39.4	< 0.001
1–2	12.4	12.1	75.4	
3 or more	5.0	20.0	74.9	
Ever had a terminated pregnancy				
Yes	14.2	18.2	67.6	< 0.001
No	13.0	13.6	73.3	
Birth in the last 5 years				
No birth	16.4	18.7	64.9	< 0.001
One birth	9.3	9.1	81.6	
Two or more	6.5	8.0	85.5	
Decision for using/not using contraception				
Mainly respondents	13.9	12.8	73.3	0.007
Mainly husband/partner	10.4	17.1	72.5	
Joint decision	13.4	14.7	71.9	
Desire for more children				
Want more	31.0	8.1	60.9	< 0.001
Don't want more	3.7	18.0	78.2	
Knowledge of ovulatory cycle				
Yes	11.1	19.0	69.9	< 0.001
No	14.5	12.1	73.4	
Household wealth status				
Poor	12.5	14.3	73.3	0.048
Middle	13.8	14.0	72.2	
Rich	13.8	15.1	71.1	
Place of residence				
Rural	13.5	14.7	71.8	0.256
Urban	12.7	14.3	72.9	

Table 3 (continued)

Variables	Contraceptive method (weighted %, row)			P value
	No method	Traditional method	Modern method	
Administrative division				
Barisal	11.7	15.9	72.4	< 0.001
Chittagong	12.9	15.1	72.1	
Dhaka	13.5	13.7	72.8	
Khulna	11.9	18.5	69.6	
Mymensingh	15.2	11.6	73.2	
Rajshahi	14.8	12.9	72.3	
Rangpur	12.1	14.6	73.4	
Sylhet	13.2	17.0	69.8	

CI Confidence Interval

purposes. A previous round of the BDHS (2011) had found that contraception use was higher in the employed women in contradiction to the current round where contraception use was greater in the unemployed women [25]. Furthermore, the positive employment status of the married women in the present study did not indicate any statistically significant increase in their use of any contraception method after adjustment for covariates.

Women in this study having 3 or more children were more likely to use both MC and traditional methods compared to those having no living children, a factor that strongly correlates with the desire to have no more children, indicative of the achievement of desired family size. A previous study in East African countries also argued that women who have more children are more likely to use a modern contraceptive method [38]. Previous study also suggests that completion of desired family size is a reliable indicator of willingness to use MC methods [38]. However, one in five women in this group were still using only traditional methods of contraception which have a high risk of failure and unintended pregnancies. Consequently, family planning programs in the country may encourage women using traditional contraceptive methods to switch to those modern methods that are compatible with their socio-cultural milieu [40]. In the current study, women's preference for having children was a significant factor of contraceptive usage. Contraception use was more prevalent among women who didn't desire more children than among those who did. This result is consistent with the earlier research [4, 41].

In this study, women with a history of terminated pregnancy were significantly less likely to use any contraceptive method. This finding is in line with the previous study in Nepal [42], and Malawi [34]. However, the inclusion of past experiences with terminated pregnancies as a factor warrants further discussion because

it might either be an unwanted naturally terminated pregnancy (miscarriage) or one that was purposefully terminated [34].

In this study, the use of both modern and traditional methods was reported by the women as primarily a decision by the husband and significantly less likely to be a joint decision. However, similar to the BDHS 2011 round, the practice of spousal joint decision making was observed to increase the likelihood of practicing modern contraceptive methods [18]. However, a contradictory finding was observed in another study where women who were empowered to exercise sexual autonomy were most likely to enhance their capacity to utilize modern contraception regardless of their educational levels [43]. This finding demonstrates the necessity of involvement of men in the Bangladesh family planning and reproductive health programmes has been long recognized for nearly three decades and the present analysis also indicates that men are the primary decision-makers in the Bangladeshi society with regards to determining their family size and the acceptance of contraception by their wives [13, 44].

Awareness of the ovulation period was a significant predictor of any type of contraception use by women in this study, a finding corroborated by evidence from previous studies where the authors identified that women who knew their ovulation cycle were more likely to use contraception than those who did not know their cycle [45, 46]. The likelihood of using contraception to prevent conception during the ovulatory phase may be higher among women who are aware of their ovulatory cycle than among those who are unaware of it [46]. Future research needs to be directed towards understanding the association between choice of contraception method and knowledge of ovulatory cycle so that the policymakers could assess whether interventions

Table 4 Results of the multilevel multinomial regression of contraceptive use on selected explanatory variables among Bangladeshi women

Variables	Using traditional method vs. using no method		Using modern method vs. using no method	
	RRR	95% CI	RRR	95% CI
Fixed-effect results				
Age of participants (RC = 15–24 years)				
25–34 years	0.51 ^{***}	0.39, 0.66	0.47 ^{***}	0.39, 0.56
35–49 years	0.76	0.54, 1.07	0.28 ^{***}	0.21, 0.37
Education (RC = No education)				
Primary	0.77	0.57, 1.03	0.97	0.74, 1.27
Secondary	0.70 [*]	0.51, 0.97	1.09	0.82, 1.45
Higher	0.79	0.52, 1.19	1.25	0.89, 1.78
Employment status (RC = Not working)				
Working	0.96	0.81, 1.14	1.08	0.93, 1.24
Husbands' education (RC = No education)				
Primary	0.88	0.69, 1.13	0.89	0.72, 1.10
Secondary	0.88	0.67, 1.16	0.84	0.66, 1.06
Higher	1.45 [*]	1.02, 2.05	0.92	0.69, 1.23
Don't know	0.48	0.10, 2.40	0.18 [*]	0.05, 0.68
No. of living children (RC = None)				
1–2	3.13 ^{***}	2.21, 4.45	3.54 ^{***}	2.81, 4.47
3 or more	3.96 ^{***}	2.54, 6.17	4.37 ^{***}	3.12, 6.11
Ever had a terminated pregnancy (RC = No)				
Yes	0.77 ^{**}	0.63, 0.93	0.64 ^{***}	0.55, 0.75
Birth in the last 5 years (RC = No birth)				
One birth	1.52 ^{***}	1.21, 1.90	2.49 ^{***}	2.07, 2.98
Two or more	1.34	0.83, 2.15	2.41 ^{***}	1.65, 3.52
Decision for using/ not using contraception (RC = Mainly respondents)				
Mainly husband/partner	4.49 ^{***}	3.04, 6.63	3.01 ^{***}	2.15, 4.17
Joint decision	2.34 ^{***}	1.86, 2.94	1.78 ^{***}	1.48, 2.15
Desire for more children (RC = Want more)				
Don't want more	18.10 ^{***}	13.87, 23.61	20.16 ^{***}	16.19, 25.12
Knowledge of ovulatory cycle (RC = No)				
Yes	1.93 ^{***}	1.63, 2.29	1.19 [*]	1.04, 1.38
Household wealth status (RC = Poor)				
Middle	0.93	0.73, 1.17	0.99	0.82, 1.20
Rich	1.11	0.88, 1.41	1.12	0.92, 1.36
Place of residence (RC = Urban)				
Rural	0.86	0.71, 1.04	0.79 ^{**}	0.67, 0.94
Administrative division (RC = Barisal)				
Chittagong	0.69 [*]	0.48, 0.99	0.74	0.54, 1.02
Dhaka	0.70	0.49, 1.00	0.85	0.62, 1.15
Khulna	1.10	0.77, 1.57	0.93	0.68, 1.28
Mymensingh	0.51 ^{***}	0.35, 0.73	0.78	0.57, 1.07
Rajshahi	0.60 ^{**}	0.42, 0.85	0.77	0.57, 1.05
Rangpur	0.78	0.55, 1.12	0.82	0.60, 1.13
Sylhet	0.78	0.53, 1.14	0.68 [*]	0.48, 0.95
Random-effect results				
Cluster random effects (95% CI) ^a	0.133 ^{***}	0.049, 0.361	0.191 ^{***}	0.112, 0.327

RRR Relative Risk Ratio, CI Confidence Interval, RC Reference Category

^{*} $P < 0.05$ ^{**} $P < 0.01$ ^{***} $P < 0.001$ ^a Significance of cluster-level random effects was assessed using log-likelihood ratio tests (comparing models with and without random effects)

designed to improve the knowledge of the reproductive cycle in Bangladeshi women could enhance their acceptability of modern contraceptive methods.

According to the study, women in rural regions use modern contraception less frequently than those in urban. This result was in line with earlier research conducted in Bangladesh [4] and Ethiopia [29, 47]. This can be due to rural women's inferior access to maternal health care service, such as contraception [29]. Another possible causes for observed inequalities in contraceptive usage between rural and urban areas include differences in cultural views and beliefs [46]. Besides, Urban women are more likely to use any type of contraception and have better access to contraception as a whole [48].

One of the strengths of this study was using the most recent nationally representative (BDHS 2017–18) data set. And also, this study used the multilevel model to consider the cluster effect on the determinant factors of contraception methods use in Bangladesh which is the appropriate analysis approach for such data set. We identified the determinants of both traditional and modern contraception methods among Bangladeshi women. There are a few study limitations. First, data on some variables such as joint families and the opinion of mothers-in-law regarding contraception use were not captured. Similarly, the opinion of men regarding their acceptance of barrier methods of contraception by themselves or any modern contraception methods by their female partners was also not included.

Conclusions

The study finds about one-fourth of Bangladeshi women of reproductive age did not use any form of modern contraception. Women with 3 or more children and those having awareness of women's ovulatory cycle were significantly more likely to use contraceptive methods. However, women's education, occupation, and household wealth did not significantly improve their likelihood of using any contraception method. Husbands were also the key drivers of decision-making in regulating the fertility of their wives but shared decision-making by both husband and wife considerably augmented the acceptability of modern contraception technologies. These findings suggest that women's empowerment for health promotion requires delicate messaging that encourages their participation in planning and deciding on their family size while reducing taboos and misconceptions about modern contraception methods.

Abbreviations

SRH: Sexual and Reproductive Health; SDG: Sustainable Development Goal; FP: Family Planning; STI: Sexually Transmitted Infections; CPR: Contraceptive Prevalence Rate; TFR: Total Fertility Rate; BDHS: Bangladesh Demographic and

Health Survey; EAs: Enumeration Areas; PSU: Primary Sampling Unit; RRR: Relative Risk ratio; CI: Confidence Interval; WHO: World Health Organization.

Acknowledgements

Authors want to thank Demographic Health Surveys (DHS) for providing the datasets with no cost and permit us for using the data for independent research.

Authors' contributions

Satyajit Kundu: Conceptualization, methodology, data curation, formal analysis, writing-original draft, writing-review & editing, supervision; Subarna Kundu: Data curation, formal analysis, writing-original draft; Md. Ashfikur Rahman: Writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; Humayun Kabir: Writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; Md. Hasan Al Banna: Writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; Saurav Basu: Writing-original draft, writing-review & editing; Hasan Mahmud Reza: writing-review & editing; Ahmed Hossain: Writing-original draft, writing-review & editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) Program dataset of Bangladesh (<https://dhsprogram.com/>) but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of Bangladesh Demographic and Health Surveys (BDHS) Program.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

We used secondary data set from the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) Programme for this study which is publicly available upon suitable request; therefore, further ethical approval was not required. Details of the ethical procedures followed by the DHS Program can be found in the BDHS report [10]. All the procedures were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors have declared that no competing interest exist.

Author details

¹Global Health Institute, North South University, Dhaka 1229, Bangladesh. ²School of Public Health, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China. ³Faculty of Nutrition and Food Science, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Patuakhali 8602, Bangladesh. ⁴Statistics Discipline, Khulna University, Khulna 9208, Bangladesh. ⁵Development Studies Discipline, Khulna University, Khulna 9208, Bangladesh. ⁶Department of Public Health, North South University, Dhaka 1229, Bangladesh. ⁷Department of Food Microbiology, Faculty of Nutrition and Food Science, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Patuakhali 8602, Bangladesh. ⁸Indian Institute of Public Health, New Delhi 122002, India. ⁹Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, North South University, Dhaka 1229, Bangladesh. ¹⁰College of Health Sciences, University of Sharjah, 27272 Sharjah, United Arab Emirates.

Received: 18 July 2022 Accepted: 12 December 2022

Published online: 16 December 2022

References

1. Nguyen TT, Neal S. Contraceptive prevalence and factors influencing utilization among women in Pakistan: a focus on gender-based violence. *Fulbright Rev Econ Policy*. 2021;1:119–34.

2. Rahman M, Haque SE, Zahan S, Islam J, Rahman M, Asaduzzaman MD, et al. Maternal high-risk fertility behavior and association with chronic undernutrition among children under age 5 y in India, Bangladesh, and Nepal: do poor children have a higher risk? *Nutrition*. 2018;49:32–40.
3. Oye-Adeniran BA, Adewole IF, Umoh AV, Oladokun A, Gbadegehin A, Ekanem EE. Community-based study of contraceptive behaviour in Nigeria. *Afr J Reprod Health*. 2006;10:90–104.
4. Haq I, Sakib S, Talukder A. Sociodemographic factors on contraceptive use among ever-married women of reproductive age: evidence from three demographic and health surveys in Bangladesh. *Med Sci*. 2017;5:31.
5. Eo O, Vy A, Va W. Prevalence and determinants of contraceptive use among women of child-bearing age in a rural community in southern Nigeria. *J Community Med Prim Heal Care*. 2017;29:97–107.
6. Tsui AO, McDonald-Mosley R, Burke AE. Family planning and the burden of unintended pregnancies. *Epidemiol Rev*. 2010;32:152–74.
7. Starbird E, Norton M, Marcus R. Investing in family planning: key to achieving the sustainable development goals. *Glob Heal Sci Pract*. 2016;4:191–210.
8. Arbab AA, Bener A, Abdulmalik M. Prevalence, awareness and determinants of contraceptive use in Qatari women. *East Mediterr Health J*. 2011;17(1):11–8.
9. Cleland J, Conde-Agudelo A, Peterson H, Ross J, Tsui A. Contraception and health. *Lancet*. 2012;380:149–56.
10. National Institute of Population Research and Training (NIPORT), ICF International. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017–18. Dhaka, Bangladesh, and Rockville, Maryland, USA; 2020.
11. Kamrul Islam M, Rabiul Haque M, Hema PS. Regional variations of contraceptive use in Bangladesh: a disaggregate analysis by place of residence. *PLoS ONE*. 2020;15:1–18.
12. National Institute of Population Research, Training, Mitra and Associates and II. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2011. 2013.
13. Hossain MB, Khan MHR, Ababneh F, Shaw JEH. Identifying factors influencing contraceptive use in Bangladesh: evidence from BDHS 2014 data. *BMC Public Health*. 2018;18:1–14.
14. Nagase T, Kunii O, Wakai S, Khaleel A. Obstacles to modern contraceptive use among married women in southern urban Maldives. *Contraception*. 2003;68:125–34.
15. Islam R, Islam AZ, Rahman M. Unmet need for family planning: experience from urban and rural areas in Bangladesh. *Public Heal Res*. 2013;3:37–42.
16. Rahman MM, Islam AZ, Islam MR. Rural-urban differentials of knowledge and practice of contraception in Bangladesh. *J Popul Soc Stud [JPSS]*. 2010;18:87–110.
17. Hoq MN. Influence of the preference for sons on contraceptive use in Bangladesh: a multivariate analysis. *Heliyon*. 2020;6: e05120.
18. Islam AZ. Factors affecting modern contraceptive use among fecund young women in Bangladesh: does couples' joint participation in household decision making matter? *Reprod Health*. 2018;15:1–9.
19. Khan MN, Islam MM, Islam RM. Pattern of contraceptive use among reproductive-aged women with diabetes and/or hypertension: findings from Bangladesh demographic and health survey. *BMC Womens Health*. 2022;22:1–8.
20. Khan MN, Islam MM. Women's experience of unintended pregnancy and changes in contraceptive methods: evidence from a nationally representative survey. *Reprod Health*. 2022;19:1–11.
21. Seltzer JR. The origins and evolution of family planning programs in developing countries. *Rand Corporation*; 2002.
22. Ahmed S, Li Q, Liu L, Tsui AO. Maternal deaths averted by contraceptive use: an analysis of 172 countries. *Lancet*. 2012;380:111–25.
23. World Health Organization. Contraception fact sheet. *Hum Reprod Programme*. 2012;4.
24. Nonvignon J, Novignon J. Trend and determinants of contraceptive use among women of reproductive age in Ghana. *African Popul Stud*. 2014;9:56–67.
25. Islam AZ, Mondal MNI, Khatun ML, Rahman MM, Islam MR, Mostofa MG, et al. Prevalence and determinants of contraceptive use among employed and unemployed women in Bangladesh. *Int J MCH AIDS*. 2016;5:92.
26. Blackstone SR, Iwelunmor J. Determinants of contraceptive use among Nigerian couples: evidence from the 2013 demographic and health survey. *Contracept Reprod Med*. 2017;2:1–8.
27. NIPORT and ICF. Mitra and associates. Dhaka, Bangladesh: ICF International. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017–18. Dhaka, Bangladesh, and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NIPORT and ICF; 2020.
28. Hubacher D, Trussell J. A definition of modern contraceptive methods. *Contraception*. 2015;92:420–1.
29. Dagne GW, Asresie MB, Fekadu GA, Gelaw YM. Modern contraceptive use and factors associated with use among postpartum women in Ethiopia; further analysis of the 2016 Ethiopia demographic and health survey data. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20:1–9.
30. Kabagenyi A, Habaasa G, Rutaremwa G. Low contraceptive use among young females in Uganda: does birth history and age at birth have an influence? analysis of, 2011 demographic and health survey. *J Contracept Stud*. 2016;1(1):4.
31. Khan HR, Shaw E. Multilevel logistic regression analysis applied to binary contraceptive prevalence data. *J Data Sci*. 2011;9:93–110.
32. Ministry of health and family welfare (mohfw) [Bangladesh]. Strategic Plan for Health, Population, and Nutrition Sector Development Program 2011–16. Dhaka, Bangladesh. 2011. <http://www.nationalplanningcycles.org/planning-cycle/BGD>. Accessed 8 Dec 2022.
33. FP2020 Commitment Maker. Family Planning 2020 Commitment: Bangladesh. <https://fp2030.org/bangladesh>. Accessed 8 Dec 2022.
34. Forty J, Rakgoasi SD, Keetile M. Patterns and determinants of modern contraceptive use and intention to use contraceptives among Malawian women of reproductive ages (15–49 years). *Contracept Reprod Med*. 2021;6:1–12.
35. Olika AK, Kitila SB, Terfa YB, Olika AK. Contraceptive use among sexually active female adolescents in Ethiopia: trends and determinants from national demographic and health surveys. *Reprod Health*. 2021;18:1–11.
36. Chandra-Mouli V, McCarragher DR, Phillips SJ, Williamson NE, Hainsworth G. Contraception for adolescents in low and middle income countries: needs, barriers, and access. *Reprod Health*. 2014;11:1–8.
37. Liu DH, Raftery AE. How do education and family planning accelerate fertility decline? *Popul Dev Rev*. 2020;46:409–41.
38. Bakibinga P, Matanda DJ, Ayiko R, Rujumba J, Muiruri C, Amendah D, et al. Pregnancy history and current use of contraception among women of reproductive age in Burundi, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda: analysis of demographic and health survey data. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6: e009991.
39. Gubhaju B. The influence of wives' and husbands' education levels on contraceptive method choice in Nepal, 1996–2006. *Int Perspect Sex Reprod Health*. 2009;35(4):176–85.
40. Bishwajit G, Tang S, Yaya S, Feng Z. Unmet need for contraception and its association with unintended pregnancy in Bangladesh. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2017;17:1–9.
41. Worku AG, Tessema GA, Zeleke AA. Trends of modern contraceptive use among young married women based on the 2000, 2005, and 2011 Ethiopian demographic and health surveys: a multivariate decomposition analysis. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10: e0116525.
42. Khanal V, Joshi C, Neupane D, Karkee R. Practices and perceptions on contraception acceptance among clients availing safe abortion services in Nepal. *Kathmandu Univ Med J*. 2011;9:179–84.
43. Viswan SP, Ravindran TKS, Kandala N-B, Petzold MG, Fonn S. Sexual autonomy and contraceptive use among young women in Nigeria: findings from the demographic and health survey data. *Int J Womens Health*. 2017;9:581.
44. Piet-Pelon NJ, Rob U. Male involvement in the Bangladesh family planning and reproductive health program. *Int Q Community Health Educ*. 1997;17:195–206.
45. Nyarko SH. Prevalence and correlates of contraceptive use among female adolescents in Ghana. *BMC Womens Health*. 2015;15:1–6.
46. Mandiwa C, Namondwe B, Makwinja A, Zamawe C. Factors associated with contraceptive use among young women in Malawi: analysis of the 2015–16 Malawi demographic and health survey data. *Contracept Reprod Med*. 2018;3:1–8.
47. Mengesha ZB, Worku AG, Feleke SA. Contraceptive adoption in the extended postpartum period is low in Northwest Ethiopia. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2015;15:1–6.
48. Hussain N. Demographic, socio-economic and cultural factors affecting knowledge and use of contraception differentials in Malda District, West Bengal. *Community Med Heal Educ*. 2011;1.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.