

#### Asking about manuscript status

1 message

**Bagus Setya Rintyarna S.T.** <a href="mailto:setya@unmuhjember.ac.id">bagus.setya@unmuhjember.ac.id</a>
To: editorjatit@gmail.com, managing\_editor@jatit.org

Thu, Dec 17, 2020 at 8:04 PM

Dear editorial team,

My name is Bagus Setya Rintyarna. I have submitted a manuscript with this identity.

**Current Status of Article No: 42804 - JATIT** 

Title: MAPPING-ACCEPTANCE-OF-INDONESIAN-ORGANIC-FOOD-CONSUMPTION-UNDER-

COVID-19-PANDEMI

Corresponding

Bagus-Setya-Rintyarna

Author:

Date Submitted: 2020-11-15

Current Status Under Blind Review Process

How long will normally the blind review process be carried out?

Best regards Bagus Setya Rintyarna

### **Evaluation Form**



# Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology JATIT

Article ID:	42804-JATIT
Title:	MAPPING ACCEPTANCE OF INDONESIAN ORGANIC FOOD
	CONSUMPTION UNDER COVID-19 PANDEMIC USING SENTIMENT
	ANALYSIS OF TWITTER DATASET
Reviewer's Name:	

The enclosed manuscript is under consideration for the above-mentioned journal. Please provide comment on the following criteria. Please be advised that you should provide comments within a month of receiving the manuscript. Reviews should be returned to <a href="editorJATIT@gmail.com">editor@JATIT.org</a> as an attached file.

Mark (X) where appropriate	YES	NO
Does the title accurately reflect the content?	X	
Is the abstract sufficiently concise and informative?	X	
Do the keywords provide adequate index entries for this paper?	X	
Is the purpose of the paper clearly stated in the introduction?	X	
Does the paper achieve its declared purpose?		
Does the paper show clarity of presentation?		
Do the figures and tables aid the clarity of the paper?		
Are the English and syntax of the paper satisfactory?		
Is the paper concise? (If not, please indicate which parts might be cut?)		
Does the paper develop a logical argument or a theme?		
Do the conclusions sensibly follow from the work that is reported?		

Are the references authoritative and representative?		
Is the paper interesting or relevant for an international audience?	X	
Is there valuable connection to previously published research in this area?		
Is the overall quality suitable for inclusion in this journal?		

#### Recommendations: Mark where appropriate.

Publishable. Accept without correction or minor corrections	
Publishable, however accept subject to changes.	X
Reject due to changes but encourage resubmitting.	
Reject due to unpublished material.	

#### Additional Comments: Authors need to improve the following issues with the paper

- 1. Introduction should also provide brief details on the research area for new readers
- 2. Background study needs more work. Provide clear justifications in the paper in selections of the core concern of the research. Literature connection and critique is poor.
- 3. Justify what gaps in literature is this work filling? or identified open research issues by other influential authors in the area that this study addresses. Formulate a clear problem statement
- 4. Validation is poor. Clearly mention the research setup and variables of interest and domain related issues. Also justify the analysis criteria and deduction form results criteria.
- 5. Discuss elaborately what are your main findings? Author should provide effective tables and graphs which mimic the results clearly; also it is needed for all the readers to understand better.

- 6. Create a separate section of difference from prior work before conclusion and descriptively analyze your wok's pros and cons in light of previous literature
- 7. Provide any limitations and assumptions about variables of interest
- 8. The concluding remarks is more than a summary of the paper. Write conclusion more like a closing argument based on the data to support your initial hypothesis. Briefly answer the questions posed in the introduction as well as provide insight into the argument as a whole. Write a proper conclusion
- 9. Author should use grammarly etc software to check the grammar and also check similarity
- 10. Formatting is not what is required

**Note From Handling Editor:** Kindly make corrections. You have a 46 page limit in journal format so space is no issue here. Minimum publication requirement is 11+ pages

Watch carefully to prevent plagiarism. Be absolutely certain that your documentation gives full credit for all materials used not only in quotations but in paraphrased form.

## **Evaluation Form**

# JATIT

# Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology

The enclosed manuscript is under consideration for the journal. Please provide feedback on the following criteria so that further process my be initiated

Mark where appropriate	YES	NO
Is it a research or review paper?	Х	
Is it within to the scope of the journal?	Х	
Is it a full paper submission?	Х	
Is the language of paper English? (up to 5% relaxation*)	Х	
Will the paper be of interest to Journal readership?	Х	
Has the paper or part of it already been published elsewhere?  [Based on Google Search on Tile And Abstract]		Х

#### Recommendations: Mark where appropriate.

Rejected After Internal Review	
Accepted After Initial Review and Recommended for Detaied Technical Review	Х

<sup>\*</sup>Relaxation is only in special case where use of any other language is curtail to work presented (Either in tables/ figures or text)



#### [JATIT] Letter of Acceptance for Submitted Research Paper ID 42804-JATIT

2 messages

**Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology** <editorjatit@gmail.com> To: bagus.setya@unmuhjember.ac.id

Sat, Dec 26, 2020 at 4:41 PM

Dear Corresponding Author Bagus-Setya-Rintyarna

We are pleased to inform you that your submission ID: 42804-JATIT titled "MAPPING ACCEPTANCE OF INDONESIAN ORGANIC FOOD CONSUMPTION UNDER COVID-19 PANDEMIC USING SENTIMENT ANALYSIS OF TWITTER DATASET" having author(s): BAGUS SETYA RINTYARNA has been accepted for publication in JOURNAL OF THEORETICAL AND APPLIED INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (E-ISSN 1817-3195 / ISSN 1992-8645). The acceptance decision was based on the reviewers' evaluation after double-blind peer review and the chief editor's approval.[Attached with this acceptance intimation]

You shall submit the OA <u>processing fee (\$450)</u> via Credit Card/PayPal transaction through our online payment system (Use any valid credit card of Yourself / Friend / Family etc) . Please submit the dues via UK Paddle payment system at

https://pay.paddle.com/checkout/507133

so that your paper may get published in upcoming issues. (please forward us with the receipt / order number generated after the completed payment process so that we can easily track your payment). The billing info that appears on your cc statement shall have a reference of JATIT. (Any Authentic Credit Card of Yourself / Friend / Family etc can be legitimately used).

There is also an option of urgent publication fee (\$900) available for urgent publication.

Kindly also submit a camera-ready copy (CRC) with updates satisfying reviewer comments in MS Word document and exact journal format [http://www.jatit.org/author\_guidelines.php] along with reply to reviewer comments document and copyright to mailjatit@gmail.com after registration fee submission.

Kindly proceed with registration fee submission for slot allocation in Vol 99 March 2021 Issues of the journal, to be assigned on the first APC submission basis. The final updated copy can be submitted at a later time after slot reservation.

We shall encourage more quality submissions from you and your colleagues in the future.

Please do acknowledge receiving this notification.

Regards,

#### Shahbaz Ghayyur PhD

Chief Editor

Editorial office

Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology

#### 5 attachments



Preliminary Evaluation Form.pdf



Author Guidelines and Formatting Template.doc 62K

JATIT Reply to Reviewer Comments.docx 16K

[Quoted text hidden]

Salam,

Bagus Setya Rintyarna

Universitas Muhammadiyah Jember

#### 5 attachments



**42804-JATIT Final Evaluation Report.pdf** 142K

Preliminary Evaluation Form.pdf 127K



JATIT Copyright.doc 27K

Author Guidelines and Formatting Template.doc 62K



JATIT Reply to Reviewer Comments.docx 16K

#### Reply TO REVIEWER COMMENTS AND CHANGE LOG

Note: Indicate the updates of changes in the manuscript in red colour font so that changes/updates are easy to track.

S.No	Comment	Reply to Comment / Change Description	Page No.
1)	Introduction should also provide brief details on the research area for new readers	We provide highlight to explain Sentiment Analysis for new readers:  As one of the most recent topic under Natural Language Processing, Sentiment Analysis which is also known as Opinion Mining can be defined as a computational based technique to extract people's opinion from various kind of data including text data grabbed from social media platform. Sentiment Analysis categorizes positive, negative and neutral opinion of text data grabbed from social media dataset (Rintyarna, Sarno and Fatichah, 2020).	2
2)	Background study needs more work. Provide clear justifications in the paper in selections of the core concern of the research. Literature connection and critique is poor.	We have added the work of (Limantara, 2017) as the prominent background study.  Meanwhile, (Limantara, 2017) modelling customer perception of organic food consumer using 100 respondents. The employed analysis technique is multi attribute attitude model.  We attempt to provide clear justification as follows:  Referring to the previously highlighted key reference as background studies, the motivation of this work comprised:  1. Providing an efficient technique based on text intelligence to reveal acceptance model of Indonesian organic food consumption  2. Applying a sentiment analysis algorithm to extract acceptance model of Indonesian organic food consumption	3

3)	Justify what gaps in literature is this work filling? or identified open research issues by other influential authors in the area that this study addresses. Formulate a clear problem statement	We have justified the research to be filled in this work:  The best we know this study is an initial effort to model the organic food consumption of Indonesian consumer using social media analysis technique. The aim of this study is to provide more recent, yet time saving analysis about the purchase decision pattern of Indonesian consumer especially under Covid-19 Pandemic situation.	3
4)	Validation is poor. Clearly mention the research setup and variables of interest and domain related issues. Also justify the analysis criteria and deduction form results criteria.	<ol> <li>In short, the research setup will be conducted by following these steps:         <ol> <li>Grabbing Twitter data from Twitter using Twitter API,</li> <li>Generating word cloud as well as word association using Gephi.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Mapping Indonesian organic food consumer acceptance using Sentiment Analysis technique employing VADER from the grabbed Twit.</li> <li>Highlight finding.</li> </ol>	6
5)	Discuss elaborately what are your main findings? Author should provide effective tables and graphs which mimic the results clearly; also it is needed for all the readers to understand better.	As presented in Fig 3, the main finding of this work is that 64% Twitter user accept positively organic food as their main dietary food and associate positively organic food with several associated word (as presented in Table 1).	
6)	Create a separate section of difference from prior work before conclusion and descriptively analyze your wok's pros and cons in light of previous literature.	We have created separate section:  1. CONTRIBUTION AND ORIGINALITY  There are two type of techniques employed for modeling consumer acceptance toward organic food consumption. The common technique adopted by previous work to reveal Indonesian consumer acceptance toward organic food consumption [17], [18], [19] relies on a pencil survey that analyze the result of respondent's answer to a set of questionnaire. The pencil survey based technique is regarded to be time consuming, costly and sometimes containing bias. In this work, we utilize a more sophisticated method based on Sentiment Analysis of text dataset grabbed from Indonesian	8

		Twitter user. This work is capable of revealing the comparable result as pencil survey technique yet in a timesaving and less expensive manner.	
7)	Provide any limitations and assumptions about variables of interest	We have provided limitation of this work:  Yet, in this work we do not handle slang word. Handling slang word need to employ a slang word library.	
		We have provided assumption of this work:  In this work, we regard Indonesian Twitter user as the sample/ respondents of the research so that we assume that their comments represent people's attitude toward organic food consumption.	4/5
8)	The concluding remarks is more than a summary of the paper. Write conclusion more like a closing argument based on the data to support your initial hypothesis. Briefly answer the questions posed in the introduction as well as provide insight into the argument as a whole. Write a proper conclusion.	We have revised conclusion.  Compared with common technique to generate acceptance model of Indonesian organic food consumption that apply pencil survey technique, this work employ a computational intelligence based method that is less expensive yet timesaving with a comparable result. The technique adopts Sentiment Analysis of Twitter Dataset. The technique includes generating word association using Force Atlas2 and sentiment analysis using extended VADER library. Most of the user that positively post organic food, associate the food with "kesehatan", "praktis", and "diet". Meanwhile, the rest post negatively and regard organic food as having expensive price compared with another kind of food. From the grabbed twit, the study also highlights that 64% of twitter user positively accept organic food as their healty daily diet.	9
9)	Author should use grammarly etc software to check the grammar and also check similarity	We have checked spelling using grammarly. We also include the result of similarity check using Turnitin. The similarity index was 15%.	

10)	Formatting is not what is required	We have checked the formatting.	



#### Confirmation for paying the APC

6 messages

Bagus Setya Rintyarna S.T. <bagus.setya@unmuhjember.ac.id> To: editor@jatit.org, publisher@jatit.org, mailjatit@gmail.com, editorjatit@gmail.com Mon, Jan 25, 2021 at 8:33 AM

Dear editorial team of JATIT,

I have paid the article processing charge of my manuscript with Order Number / Receipt: #22217789 entitled ""MAPPING ACCEPTANCE OF INDONESIAN ORGANIC FOOD CONSUMPTION UNDER COVID-19 PANDEMIC USING SENTIMENT ANALYSIS OF TWITTER DATASET" having author(s): BAGUS SETYA RINTYARNA.

Here I attach the receipt from paddle for your reference.

What is the next step of publication? I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Best regards,

Dr. Bagus Setya Rintyarna



Your Paddle.com Order #22217789.pdf

editor jatit <mailjatit@gmail.com>

To: "Bagus Setya Rintyarna S.T." <bagus.setya@unmuhjember.ac.id>

Fri, Jan 29, 2021 at 1:34 AM

Dear Author

We have received the payment proof from your end. Kindly also forward the updated paper, copyright, and reply to comments.

The paper shall appear in the upcoming Vol 99 February 2021 Issue 04 of JATIT as per current slot allocation.

The staff shall intimate you if anything else is required from your end.

We shall encourage more quality submissions from you and your colleagues in the future.

Regards,

**Editorial Office** Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology [Quoted text hidden]

Bagus Setya Rintyarna S.T. <bagus.setya@unmuhjember.ac.id> To: editor@jatit.org, publisher@jatit.org, mailjatit@gmail.com, editorjatit@gmail.com Thu, Feb 4, 2021 at 11:10 AM

Dear Editor.

Here we attach:

- 1. The updated Manuscript
- 2. Reply to Reviewer's Comment
- 3. The copyright form
- 4. The result of plagiarism check using Turnitin

We look forward to hearing from you.

Best regards,

Dr. Bagus Setya Rintyarna

[Quoted text hidden]

Salam,

Bagus Setya Rintyarna

Universitas Muhammadiyah Jember

#### 4 attachments



Updated Manuscript.pdf 544K



**JATIT Reply to Reviewer Comments.docx** 



## Turnitin\_Manuscript.pdf 3058K

#### Bagus Setya Rintyarna S.T. <bagus.setya@unmuhjember.ac.id>

To: editor@jatit.org, publisher@jatit.org, mailjatit@gmail.com, editorjatit@gmail.com

Mon, Mar 1, 2021 at 5:23 AM

Dear Editor,

I have paid the APC along with sending

- 1. The updated Manuscript
- 2. Reply to Reviewer's Comment
- 3. The copyright form
- 4. The result of plagiarism check using Turnitin

It is said that my paper shall appear in the upcoming Vol 99 February 2021 Issue 04 of JATIT as per current slot allocation. Yet I can find my paper in Vol 99 Issue 04 that has been already published.

Best Regards, Bagus Setya Rintyarna

[Quoted text hidden]

#### editor jatit <mailjatit@gmail.com>

To: "Bagus Setya Rintyarna S.T." <bagus.setya@unmuhjember.ac.id>

Dear Author

Kindly reforward the paper in MS Word format as it shall appear in upcoming issue 5.

Regards

**Editorial Office** 

**JATIT** 

[Quoted text hidden]

#### Bagus Setya Rintyarna S.T. <bagus.setya@unmuhjember.ac.id>

Tue, Mar 2, 2021 at 5:16 AM

Tue, Mar 2, 2021 at 12:14 AM

To: mailjatit@gmail.com

Dear editor,

Here is the paper (camera ready). The red font indicated my revision dealing with the reviewer's comments.

Best regards,

[Quoted text hidden]

