

## REFERENCES

- Agustin, M., Melanie, M., & Nashir, I. (2021). Students' perception towards English teacher performance in teaching English at the eighth grade of SMPN 6 Lubuk Basung. *ELLTER Journal*, 2(1), 33–46. <https://doi.org/10.22236/ellter.v2i1.6708>
- Aidil, T. M. (2019). *Exploring students' perception on the effectiveness of "Grammarly Software" in academic writing*. Universitas Islam Negeri Ar-Raniry Banda Aceh.
- Akkaya, A., & Aydın, G. (2018). Academics' views on the characteristics of academic writing. *Educational Policy Analysis and Strategic Research*, 13(2), 128–160. <https://doi.org/10.29329/epasr.2018.143.7>
- Alharbi, W. (2023). AI in the foreign language classroom: A pedagogical overview of automated writing assistance tools. *Education Research International*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/4253331>
- Ambarwati, E. K. (2021). Indonesian university students' appropriating Grammarly for formative feedback. *ELT in Focus*, 4(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.35706/eltinf.v4i1.5216>
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Sorensen, C. K., & Walker, D. A. (2014). *Introduction to research in education* (9th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Bailey, S. (2011). *Academic writing: A Handbook for International Students* (third). Routledge.
- Birhan, Y. (2017). Assessment of the qualities of academic writing in senior essays of English graduates: The case of Dire Dawa University. *International Journal of English and Literature*, 8(8), 102–114. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ijel2015.0777>
- Boardman, Cynthia A., & Frydenberg, J. (2008). *Writing to communicate 2: Paragraphs and essays* (3rd ed.). Pearson Education.
- Brown, H. D. (2004). *Language assessment: Principles and classroom practices*. Pearson Education.
- Cavaleri, M., & Dianati, S. (2016). You want me to check your grammar again? The usefulness of an online grammar checker as perceived by students. *Journal of Academic Language & Learning*, 10(1), A223–A236.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Dornyei, Z. (2001). *Motivational strategies in the language classroom* .

Cambridge University Press.

- Duke, C. R. (2002). Learning outcomes: Comparing student perceptions of skill level and importance. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 24(3), 203–217. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0273475302238043>
- Eunson, B. (2012). *Communicating in the 21st century* (3rd ed.). John Wiley and Sons Australia.
- Fitriana, K., & Nurazni, L. (2022). Exploring English department students' perceptions on using Grammarly to check the grammar in their writing. *JET (Journal of English Teaching)*, 8(1), 15–25. <https://doi.org/10.33541/jet.v8i1.3044>
- Ghufron, M. A., & Rosyida, F. (2018). The role of Grammarly in assessing English as a foreign language (EFL) writing. *Lingua Cultura*, 12(4), 395–403. <https://doi.org/10.21512/lc.v12i4.4582>
- Gillett, A. . H. A. . M. M. (2009). *Successful academic writing*. Pearson Longman.
- Hakiki, G. N. R. (2021). EFL students' perception of the use of Grammarly application in writing class. *EDUVELOP*, 4(2), 99–106. <https://doi.org/10.31605/edvelop.v4i2.891>
- Harackiewicz, J. M., Smith, J. L., & Priniski, S. J. (2016). Interest Matters: The Importance of Promoting Interest in Education. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 3(2), 220-227. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2372732216655542>
- Karyuatry, L., Rizqan, M. D., & Darayani, N. A. (2018). Grammarly as a tool to improve students' writing quality (free online proofreader across the boundaries). *Sains Sosial Dan Humaniora*, 2(1), 83–89.
- Khalisa, N. (2018). *The correlation between students' reading habit and their writing ability*. Ar-Raniri State Islamic University.
- Komariyah, D. N. (2015). *Writing strategies used by the fourth semester students of English education department*. University of Muhammadiyah Purwokerto.
- Kuhn, Gustav., Caffaratti, H., Teszka, R., Rensink, R. (2014) A psychologically-based taxonomy of misdirection. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5, Article 1392. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01392>
- Lalika, H. I. (2019). *Students' perceptions of the use of Grammarly as an online grammar checker in thesis writing* . Universitas Islam Negeri Sunan Ampel.
- Mcdonald, S. M. (2012). Perception: A concept analysis. *International Journal of Nursing Knowledge*, 23(1), 2–9. 10.1111/j.2047-3095.2011.01198.x
- Montague, M. (1997). Student perception, mathematical problem solving, and learning disabilities. *Remedial and Special Education*, 18(1), 46–53.
- Nova, M. (2018). Utilizing Grammarly in evaluating academic writing: a narrative research on EFL students' experience. *Premise: Journal of English Education and Applied Linguistics*, 7(1), 80–96. <https://doi.org/10.24127/pj.v7i1>

- Nunan, D. (2003). *Practical English language teaching*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- O’neill, R., & Russell, A. M. T. (2019). Stop! Grammar time: University students’ perceptions of the automated feedback program Grammarly. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 35(1), 42–56.
- Oshima, A., & Hogue, A. (2007). *Introduction to academic writing* (3rd ed.). Pearson Education.
- Oshima, A., & Hogue, A. (2007). Introduction to Academic Writing. In *Pearson Education* (3rd ed.).  
[https://edisclipnes.usp.br/plueginefile.php/3928474/mod\\_resource/content/1/IntroductiontoAcademicWriting.pdf](https://edisclipnes.usp.br/plueginefile.php/3928474/mod_resource/content/1/IntroductiontoAcademicWriting.pdf)
- Parmawati, A. . S. I. . Y. (2020). Improving students’ writing skill through round table technique . *Eltin Journal: Journal of English Language Teaching in Indonesia*, 8(2), 103–111.
- Petegem, K. Van, Aelterman, A., Rosseel, Y., & Creemers, B. (2007). Students perception as moderator for student wellbeing. *Social Indicators Research*, 83, 447–463.
- Qassemzadeh, A., & Soleimani, H. (2016). The Impact of feedback provision by Grammarly software and teachers on learning passive structures by Iranian EFL learners. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 6(9), 1884–1894.  
<https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.0609.23>
- Rejeki, S. (2023). *Students’ perceived knowledge of using Grammarly application in academic writing*. Syarif Hidayatullah State Islamic University of Jakarta.
- San segundo, R. (2002). A new concept of knowledge. *Online Information review*, 26(4), 239-245.
- Setyani, E. D., Bunau, E., & Rezeki, Y. S. (2023). The influence of Grammarly towards Indonesian EFL students’ first-degree thesis writing confidence. *Elsya : Journal of English Language Studies*, 5(1), 54–67.  
<https://doi.org/10.31849/elsya.v5i1.6773>
- Simpson, E.H., Balsam, P. D. (2016). The behavioral neuroscience of motivation: An overview of concepts, measures, and translational applications. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci*, 27, 1-12. doi: 10.1007/7854\_2015\_402.
- Tuan, L. T. (2010). Enhancing EFL learners’ writing skill via journal writing. *Canadian Center of Science and Education*, 3(3), 81–88.  
[www.ccsenet.org/elt](http://www.ccsenet.org/elt)
- Ummah, L. K. (2022). *EFL students’ perception of Grammarly premium’s feedback and how they deal with the inaccuracy*. Maulana Malik Ibrahim State Islamic University.
- Ummah, L. K., & Bisriyah, M. (2022). EFL students’ perception on Grammarly’s premium’s feedback and dealing with inaccuracies. *JEES (Journal of English Educators Society)*, 7(2), 163–172. <https://doi.org/10.21070/jees.v7i2.1687>
- Van de Poel, K., & Gasiorek, J. (2012). Effects of an efficacy-focused approach to academic writing on students’ perceptions of themselves as writers. *Journal*

*of English for Academic Purposes*, 11(4), 294–303.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2012.07.003>

Wang, P., Hahm, C., & Hammer, P. (2022) A model of unified perception and cognition. *Frontiers Media*, 5:806403. doi: 10.3389/frai.2022.806403

