

PAPER NAME

Domination Numbers in Graphs Resulting from Shackle Operations with Linkage of any Graph

AUTHOR

Ilham Saifudin

WORD COUNT

4106 Words

CHARACTER COUNT

22117 Characters

PAGE COUNT

12 Pages

FILE SIZE

823.1KB

SUBMISSION DATE

Oct 17, 2023 2:41 PM GMT+7

REPORT DATE

Oct 17, 2023 2:41 PM GMT+7

● **12% Overall Similarity**

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 11% Internet database
- 7% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 5% Submitted Works database

● **Excluded from Similarity Report**

- Bibliographic material
- Quoted material
- Cited material
- Small Matches (Less than 10 words)

Domination Numbers in Graphs Resulting from Shackle Operations with Linkage of any Graph

Ilham Saifudin¹, Triyanna Widiyaningtyas², Rohmad Wahid Rhomdani³, Moh. Dasuki⁴

^{1,4}Departement of Informatics Engineering, ³Departement of mathematics education, Universitas Muhammadiyah Jember

²Departement of Electrical Engineering, State University of Malang

Email : ¹ilham.saifudin@unmuhjember.ac.id, ²triyannaw.ft@um.ac.id, ³wahidgrup@gmail.com, ⁴moh.dasuki22@unmuhjember.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Article History:

Received : D-M-20XX
 Revised : D-M-20XX
 Accepted : D-M-20XX
 Online : D-M-20XX

Keyword:

Domination Number,
 Shackle Operation
 Result Graph, Any
 Graph as Linkage



The domination number is the number of dominating nodes in a graph that can dominate the surrounding connected nodes with a minimum number of dominating nodes. This domini number is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. In this research, we will examine the domination number of the distance between two graphs resulting from the shackle operation with any graph as linkage. This differs from previous research, namely the domination of numbers at one and two distances. This study emphasizes how the results of operations on the shackle are connected to the shackle graph as any graph connects the copy. Any graph here means all graphs are connected and generally accepted. The method used in this research is pattern recognition and axiomatic deductive methods. The pattern detection method examines patterns where a graph's number of dominating points can dominate the connected points around it with a minimum number of dominating nodes. Meanwhile, axiomatic deductive is a research method that uses the principles of deductive proof that apply to mathematical logic by using existing axioms or theorems to solve a problem. The Result of graph S_n with t copies and S_m as linkage, then the two-distance domination number in the graph resulting from the shackle operation is $\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(S_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1$; graph S_n with t copies and C_m as linkage, then the two-distance domination number in the graph resulting from the shackle operation is $\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(S_n, C_m, t)) = \begin{cases} t, \text{ for } 3 \leq m \leq 6 \\ \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil (t - 1), \text{ for } m \geq 7 \end{cases}$; graph C_n with t copies and S_m as linkage, then the two-distance domination number in the graph resulting from the shackle operation is

$$\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(C_n, S_m, t)) = \begin{cases} t - 1, \text{ for } n = 3 \\ t, \text{ for } 4 \leq n \leq 5 \\ \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil t, \text{ for } n \geq 6 \end{cases}.$$

1



<https://doi.org/10.31764/jtam.vXiY.ZZZ>



This is an open access article under the CC-BY-SA license

A. INTRODUCTION

Graph theory is a branch of mathematics that can be applied in various fields. Fields that can be applied to graph theory include the computer field, industry, government, security, and others (Joedo et al., 2022), (Verma et al., 2022), and (Ali et al., 2022). In general, graphs can be interpreted as non-empty sets called nodes, and sets that can be empty are called edges (Ponraj et al., 2022).

One of the exciting topics in graph theory is domination numbers. Domination numbers have been around for a long time, namely since 1850. Domination numbers first appeared among chess fans in Europe (Mahapatra & Pal, 2022), (Vecchio et al., 2017), (C. Zhang et al., 2023) and (Khan et al., 2023). Strengthened by the problem that arises and must be faced by determining the number of queens that must be placed on the 8 x 8 chess board so that the queen can control all squares on the chess. In such cases, the number of queens on the chessboard must be minimal (X. Zhang et al., 2022), (Milano et al., 2022), (Xue & Li, 2023).

Mathematically, the domination number can be the number of dominating nodes in a graph that can dominate the surrounding connected nodes with a minimum number of dominating nodes. This domini number is denoted by $\gamma(G)$. Domination numbers have been widely used in life, for example, placing police monitoring posts on certain roads, placing electric cars on plantation land, and placing CCTV at certain angles so that they can reach the surrounding area at a certain distance (Ashraful Alam et al., 2022). By implementing a dominating set, the placement of police posts, electric cars, and CCTV will be more efficient, and the number can be minimized. Domination numbers have sub-subs, such as one distance domination number, two distance domination number, three distance domination number, and n^{th} distance domination number. It was also researched regarding the domination number of the results of operations on graphs (amalgamation operations, shackle operations, joint operations, etc.). Previous research has been researched with the titles Two Distance Domination Numbers in Graphs Resulting from Shackle Operations (Umilasari, 2017), Two Distance Domination Numbers in Jahangir $J_{m,n}$ Graphs (Wahyuni & Utoyo, 2017), Two Distance Domination Numbers in Graph of Amalgamation Operation Results (Saifudin, 2017), (Umilasari et al., 2019), (Umilasari, 2015), (Vikade, 2016), (Saifudin & Umilasari, 2017), (Agustina M, Ika Hesti A, 2014), (Poniman & Fran, 2020), and (Shaheen et al., 2017).

This research will study the domination number of the distance between two graphs resulting from the shackle operation with any graph's linkage. This differs from previous research: distance one and two domination numbers. This research emphasizes how the results of operations on the shackle are connected to the shackle graph as any graph connects its copy. Any graph here means that all graphs are connected and apply in general. Thus, the resulting formula generally applies to lower and upper limits. It can be drawn from the explanation above that the title of this research is "Domination Numbers in Graphs Resulting from Shackle Operations with Linkage of Any Graph."




Figure 1 Graph of Shackle Operation Results Point Shack($G, v, 4$)

A shackle graph is denoted by $\text{Shack}(G_1, G_2, \dots, G_k)$, a shackle graph formed from k copies of graph G is denoted by $\text{Shack}(G, k)$ with $k \geq 2$ and k is an integer (Maryati et al., 2010), (Huntala et al., 2023), (Prayitno, 2015). The shackle operation in this study consists of point

shackle and side shackle (Kristiana et al., 2022). The node shackle operation is denoted by $\text{Shack}(G, v, t)$ meaning that the graph is constructed from any graph G with t copies and v as the node linkage, the resulting graph of the node shackle operation can be seen in Figure 1. Meanwhile, the side shackle operation is denoted by $\text{Shack}(G, e, t)$, which means that the graph is constructed from t copies of any graph G , and e is edge linkage (Maghfiro et al., 2023). The graph resulting from the edge shackle operation can be seen in Figure 2.




Figure 2 Graph of Shackle Operation Results Side $\text{Shack}(G, e, 4)$

B. METHODS

The methods used in this research are pattern detection and axiomatic deductive methods. The pattern detection method examines patterns where a graph's number of dominating points can dominate the connected points around them with a minimum number of dominating nodes. Meanwhile, axiomatic deductive is a research method that uses the principles of deductive proof that apply to mathematical logic by using existing axioms or theorems to solve a problem. Then, the method will determine the domination number with the minimum domination point. The research focuses on determining the domination number of the distance between two graphs resulting from shackle operations with any linkage of shack graphs $\text{Shack}(G, H, k)$.

The research stages to obtain the domination number on the graph are shown in Figure 3 Research Flow




Figure 3 Research Flow

From Figure 3, the design of this research will be explained as follows.

1. Study of literature
At this stage, the researcher read references from previous research regarding graph theory books, articles related to graph theory, articles related to domination numbers, any graphs that previous researchers had not researched.
2. Determine the graph to be used
The graphs that will be used include: From a scientific perspective, we will look for graphs resulting from shackle operations with any linkage of Shackle graphs (G, H, k) .
3. Determine the set of points on each graph.
The names of the points are first determined to make it easier to determine the domination number of two $\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(G, H, k))$, the names of the points are first determined. Each naming of these points can be a basis for other points so that the minimum dominating point of each graph is formed, which is the dominating number.
4. Determine the domination number $\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(G, H, k))$
After determining the naming of the points of the graph, the time comes when the domination number can be said to be the number of dominating points in a graph that can dominate the connected points around them and with a minimum number of dominating points. The two-distance domination number is denoted by $\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(G, H, k))$.
5. Deconstruction of graphs
After determining the minimum point of the graph as the dominator, construction will be carried out to determine the general formula for the graph. The minimum cardinality between the domination sets in graph G is called the dominating number of graph G denoted by $\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(G, H, k))$.
6. Conduct score analysis $\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(G, H, k))$.
At this stage, an analysis of the domination numbers that have been proven will be carried out, whether there is a connection with other graphs so that the general formula for the graph is found.
7. Drawing conclusions
Last, after all the circuits have been passed, a conclusion will be drawn as a dominating number for the distance between two graphs resulting from Shackle Operation with Linkage of Any Graph.

C. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The following are the results and discussion related to this research, starting with the operational definition along with the resulting theorems.

Operational Definition of Shackle Operation Results Graph

1. $\text{Shack}(S_n, S_m, t)$ is the graph resulting from the shackle operation on graph S_n with t copies and S_m is the graph between S_i and S_{i+1} . If $|V(S_n)| = n + 1$ and $|V(S_m)| = m + 1$, then $|V(\text{Shack}(S_n, S_m, t))| = nt + mt - 2t - m + 3$.

2. $Shack(S_n, C_m, t)$ is the graph resulting from the shackle operation on graph S_n with t copies and C_m is the graph between S_i dan S_{i+1} . If $|V(S_n)| = n + 1$ and $|V(C_m)| = m$, then $|V(Shack(S_n, C_m, t))| = (n - 1)t + m(t - 1) + 2 = nt - t + mt - m + 2$
3. $Shack(C_n, S_m, t)$ is the graph resulting from the shackle operation on graph C_n with t copies and S_m is the graph between C_i dan C_{i+1} . If $|V(C_n)| = n$ and $|V(S_m)| = m + 1$, then $|V(Shack(C_n, S_m, t))| = nt + (m - 1)(t - 1) = nt + mt - m - t + 1$

Theorems resulting from the Shackle Operation Result Graph

Theorem 1. Given a graph S_n is t copies and S_m is linkage, then the two distance domination number in the graph resulting from the shackle operation is

$$\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1$$

Proof. $Shack(S_n, S_m, t)$ is the graph resulting from the shackle operation from graph S_n with t copies and S_m as a linkage. The $Shack(S_n, S_m, t)$ graph has $nt + mt - 2t - m + 3$ nodes and a dominating node can dominate a maximum of $1 + m + 2n$ nodes.

Next, it will be proven that $\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1$ is the minimum number of dominators which will be proven through two cases, namely $v_{m+1} \in D_2$ and v_{m+1} are not elements of D_2 where v_{m+1} is the central node in the sub graph S_m and D_2 is the set of dominating nodes.

Case 1. $v_{m+1} \in D_2$

In the case of $v_{m+1} \in D_2$ for the number of 2nd copies up to the t^{th} copy, the number of domination numbers in the distance of two in $Shack(S_n, S_m, t)$ will form an arithmetic sequence as follows.

The 1st term for $t = 2 \rightarrow 1$

The 2nd term for $t = 3 \rightarrow 2$

The 3rd term for $t = 4 \rightarrow 3$

⋮

The k^{th} term for $t = t \rightarrow t - 1$

From this sequence we will get the domination number of the two distances in the graph $Shack(S_n, S_m, t)$ which is $t - 1$. Next, it will be proven using mathematical induction as follows.

$$\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1$$

It will be proven that the 1st term is correct

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, 2)) &= 2 - 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow &1 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that the k^{th} term is correct

$$\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, k)) = k - 1$$

It will be proven that for the $k + 1^{\text{th}}$ term it is also correct.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, k + 1)) &= \gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, k)) + \text{difference} \\ \Leftrightarrow k + 1 - 1 &= k - 1 + 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow k &= k \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in the case $v_{m+1} \in D_2$, the graph $Shack(S_n, S_m, t)$ has a domination number of $t - 1$.

Case 2. v_{m+1} is not an element of D_2

In this case, for the number of 2^{nd} copies up to the t^{th} copy, the number of domination numbers in the distance of two in $Shack(S_n, S_m, t)$ will form an arithmetic sequence as follows

The 1st term for $t = 2 \rightarrow 2$

The 2nd term for $t = 3 \rightarrow 3$

The 3rd term for $t = 4 \rightarrow 4$

\vdots

The k^{th} term for $t = t \rightarrow t$

From this sequence we will get the domination number for the two distances in the graph $Shack(S_n, S_m, t)$ which is t . Next, it will be proven using mathematical induction as follows.

$$\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, t)) = t$$

It will be proven that the 1st term is correct

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, 2)) &= t \\ \Leftrightarrow 2 &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that the k^{th} term is correct

$$\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, k)) = k$$

It will be proven that for the $k + 1$ term it is also correct.

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, k + 1)) &= \gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, k)) + \text{beda} \\ \Leftrightarrow k + 1 &= k + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in the case that v_{m+1} is not an element of D_2 , the graph $Shack(S_n, S_m, t)$ has a domination number of t .

From these two cases it is known that $t - 1 \leq t$ then $\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1$. Next, it will be proven that $t-1$ is the minimum number of dominating nodes in the graph $Shack(S_n, S_m, t)$. If $\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1 - 1 = t - 2$ then the maximum node that can be dominated based on Lemma is $1 + m + 2n \left(\left\lfloor \frac{nt+mt-2t-m+3}{1+m+2n} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \leq 1 + m + 2n \left(\frac{nt+mt-2t-m+2+1+m+2n}{1+m+2n} - 1 \right) = nt + mt - 2t - m + 2$. This means that the number of nodes that can be dominated is $nt + mt - 2t - m + 2$, so there is at least one node that is not dominated. Thus $\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, t)) \neq t - 2$, because $t - 1$ is the minimum number of dominating nodes then $\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1$. To strengthen the evidence, an example image is provided as follows.




Figure 4 Shack Graph ($S_8, S_6, 3$) with Black Nodes are Dominant Nodes

Theorem 2. Given a graph S_n has t copies and C_m as linkage, then the two-distance domination number in the graph resulting from the shackle operation is

$$\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, t)) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{for } 3 \leq m \leq 6 \\ \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil (t-1), & \text{for } m \geq 7 \end{cases}$$

Proof. $Shack(S_n, C_m, t)$ is the graph resulting from the shackle operation from graph S_n with t copies and C_m as linkage. The $Shack(S_n, C_m, t)$ graph has $(n-1)t + m(t-1) + 2 = nt - t + mt - m + 2$ nodes and a dominating node can dominate a maximum of $n+5$ nodes.

Next it will be proven that $\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, t)) = t$ for $3 \leq m \leq 6$. In this case, the number of 1st copies to the t^{th} copies, the number of domination numbers in the distance of two in $Shack(S_n, C_m, t)$ will form an arithmetic sequence as follows.

The 1st term for $t = 1 \rightarrow 1$

The 2nd term for $t = 2 \rightarrow 2$

The 3rd term for $= 3 \rightarrow 3$

⋮

The k^{th} term for $t = t \rightarrow t$

From this sequence we will get the domination number of the two distances in the graph $Shack(S_n, C_m, t)$ which is t . Next, it will be proven using mathematical induction as follows

$$\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, t)) = t$$

It will be proven that the 1st term is correct

$$\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, 1)) = 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 = 1$$

Assume that the k^{th} sukuk is correct

$$\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, k)) = k$$

It will be proven that for the $k+1^{\text{th}}$ term it is also correct

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, k+1)) &= \gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, k)) + \text{difference} \\ &\Leftrightarrow k+1 = k+1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the graph $Shack(S_n, C_m, t)$ has a domination number of t . Next it will be shown that t is the minimum number of dominating nodes in the graph $Shack(S_n, C_m, t)$. In the graph $Shack(S_n, C_m, t)$ with $3 \leq m \leq 6$, it has a maximum of $(n-1)t + 6(t-1) + 2 = nt + 5t - 4$ nodes. Each dominating node can dominate a maximum of $n+5$ nodes. If $\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, t)) = t-1$, then the maximum node that can be dominated based on Lemma is $n+5 \left(\left\lceil \frac{nt+5t-4}{n+5} \right\rceil - 1 \right) \leq n+5 \left(\frac{nt+5t-5+n+5}{n+5} - 1 \right) = nt + 5t - 5$. This means that the number of nodes that can be dominated is $nt + 5t - 5$, so there is at least one node that is not dominated. Thus $\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, t)) \neq t-1$, because t is the minimum number of dominating nodes then $\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, t)) = t$.

Next, it will be proven that $\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, t)) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil (t-1)$, for $m \geq 7$ is the minimum number of dominating nodes that can dominate all nodes in the $Shack(S_n, C_m, t)$. If $\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, t)) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil (t-1) - 1$, then the maximum node that can be dominated based on Lemma is $n+5 \left(\left\lceil \frac{nt-t+mt-m+2}{n+5} \right\rceil - 1 \right) \leq n+5 \left(\frac{nt-t+mt-m+1+n+5}{n+5} - 1 \right) = nt - t + mt -$

$m + 1$. This means that the number of nodes that can be dominated is $nt - t + mt - m + 1$, so there is at least one node that is not dominated. Thus $\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(S_n, C_m, t)) \neq \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil (t - 1) - 1$, because t is the minimum number of dominating nodes then $\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(S_n, C_m, t)) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil (t - 1)$. To strengthen the evidence, an example image is provided as follows.




Figure 5 Shack Graph $(S_6, C_6, 3)$ with Black Nodes are Dominant Nodes

Theorem 3. Given a graph C_n with t copies and S_m as linkage, then the two-distance domination number in the graph resulting from the shackle operation is

$$\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(C_n, S_m, t)) = \begin{cases} t - 1, & \text{for } n = 3 \\ t, & \text{for } 4 \leq n \leq 5 \\ \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil t, & \text{for } n \geq 6 \end{cases}$$

Proof. $\text{Shack}(C_n, S_m, t)$ is the graph resulting from the shackle operation from graph C_n with t copies and S_m as linkage. $\text{Shack}(C_n, S_m, t)$ graph has $nt + (m - 1)(t - 1) = nt + mt - m - t + 1$ nodes and a dominating node can dominate a maximum of $m + 5$ nodes

for $n = 3$

Next, it will be proven that $\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(C_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1$ for $n = 3$. In this case, the number of 1st copies to the t^{th} copies, the number of domination numbers in the distance of two in $\text{Shack}(C_n, S_m, t)$ will form an arithmetic sequence as follows.

The 1st term for $t = 2 \rightarrow 1$

The 2nd term for $t = 3 \rightarrow 2$

The 3rd term for $t = 4 \rightarrow 3$

\vdots

The k^{th} term for $t = t \rightarrow t - 1$

From this sequence we will get the domination number of the two distances in the graph $\text{Shack}(C_n, S_m, t)$ which is $t - 1$. Next, it will be proven using mathematical induction as follows.

$$\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(C_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1$$

It will be proven that the 1st term is correct

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2(\text{Shack}(C_n, S_m, t)) &= 2 - 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow &1 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Assume that the k^{th} term is correct

$$\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(C_n, S_m, t)) = k - 1$$

It will be proven that for the $k + 1^{\text{th}}$ term it is also correct

$$\gamma_2(\text{Shack}(C_n, S_m, k + 1)) = \gamma_2(\text{Shack}(C_n, S_m, t)) + \text{difference}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow k + 1 - 1 = k - 1 + 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow k = k$$

Thus, the graph $Shack(C_n, S_m, t)$ has a domination number of $t - 1$. Next it will be shown that $t - 1$ is the minimum number of dominating nodes in the graph $Shack(C_n, S_m, t)$. In the graph $Shack(C_n, S_m, t)$ with $n = 3$, it has $nt + (m - 1)(t - 1) = nt + mt - m - t + 1$ nodes and a dominating node can dominate a maximum of $m + 5$ nodes. if $\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1 - 1 = t - 2$, then the maximum node that can be dominated based on Lemma is $m + 5 \left(\left\lceil \frac{nt + mt - m - t + 1}{m + 5} \right\rceil - 1 \right) \leq m + 5 \left(\frac{nt + mt - m - t + m + 5}{m + 5} - 1 \right) = nt + mt - m - t$. This means that the number of nodes that can be dominated is $nt + mt - m - t$, so there is at least one node that is not dominated. Thus $\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) \neq t - 2$, because $t - 1$ is the minimum number of dominating nodes then $\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1$.

To strengthen the evidence, an example image is provided as follows.




Figure 1 Graph $Shack(C_3, S_6, 3)$ with the Black Node being the Dominating Node

for $4 \leq n \leq 5$

Next it will be proven that for $\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) = t$ for $4 \leq n \leq 5$. In this case, the number of 1st copies to the 2nd copy, the number of dominating numbers within two $Shack(C_n, S_m, t)$ will form an arithmetic sequence as follows

The 1st term for $t = 1 \rightarrow 1$

The 2nd term for $t = 2 \rightarrow 2$

The 3rd term for $t = 3 \rightarrow 3$

⋮

The kth term for $t = t \rightarrow t$

From this sequence we will get the domination number of the two distances in the graph $Shack(C_n, S_m, t)$ which t . Next, it will be proven using mathematical induction as follows

$$\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) = t$$

It will be proven that the 1th term is correct

$$\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, 1)) = 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 = 1$$

Assume that the kth term is correct.

$$\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, k)) = k$$

It will be proven that $k + 1$ th is also correct

$$\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, k + 1)) = \gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, k)) + \text{difference}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow k + 1 = k + 1$$

Thus, the graph $Shack(C_n, S_m, t)$ has a domination number of t . Next it will be shown that t is the minimum number of dominating nodes in the graph $Shack(C_n, S_m, t)$. In a graph

$Shack(C_n, S_m, t)$ with $4 \leq n \leq 5$, has $nt + mt - m - t + 1$ nodes. Each dominating node can dominate a maximum of as many $m + 5$ nodes. If $\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1$, then that the maximum node that can be dominated based on Lemma is $m + 5 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{nt+mt-m-t+1}{m+5} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \leq m + 5 \left(\frac{nt+mt-m-t+m+5}{m+5} - 1 \right) = nt + mt - m - t$. This means that the number of nodes that can be dominated is $nt + mt - m - t$, so there is at least one node that is not dominated. Thus $\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) \neq t - 1$, because is the minimum number of dominating nodes then $\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) = t$.

for $n \geq 6$

Next, it will be proven that $\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil t$, for $n \geq 6$ there is a minimum number of dominating nodes that can dominate all nodes in the graph $Shack(C_n, S_m, t)$. If $\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil t - 1$, then that the maximum node that can be dominated based on Lemma is $m + 5 \left(\left\lfloor \frac{nt+mt-m-t+1}{m+5} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) \leq m + 5 \left(\frac{nt+mt-m-t+m+5}{m+5} - 1 \right) = nt + mt - m - t$. This means that the number of nodes that can be dominated is $nt + mt - m - t$, so there is at least one node that is not dominated. Thus, $\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) \neq \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil t - 1$, because t is the minimum number of dominating nodes then $\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) = \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil t$.

D. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

The following are the conclusions obtained from the results and previous discussions

1. graph S_n with t copies and S_m as linkage, then the two-distance domination number in the graph resulting from the shackle operation is

$$\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, S_m, t)) = t - 1$$

2. graph S_n with t copies and C_m as linkage, then the two-distance domination number in the graph resulting from the shackle operation is

$$\gamma_2(Shack(S_n, C_m, t)) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{for } 3 \leq m \leq 6 \\ \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil (t - 1), & \text{for } m \geq 7 \end{cases}$$

3. graph C_n with t copies and S_m as linkage, then the two-distance domination number in the graph resulting from the shackle operation is

$$\gamma_2(Shack(C_n, S_m, t)) = \begin{cases} t - 1, & \text{for } n = 3 \\ t, & \text{for } 4 \leq n \leq 5 \\ \left\lceil \frac{n}{5} \right\rceil t, & \text{for } n \geq 6 \end{cases}$$

Since it was one of topic development of Domination Numbers in Graphs others, then we also conclude this research with some open problem below:

1. Find the resolving of some family of graphs
2. Find the resolving of some product of graphs
3. Use this topic to develop/ combine with some other topic in graph theory.

1 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank the University of Muhammadiyah Jember for providing the opportunity to conduct research and funding the internal research program for the 2023 period.

REFERENCES

- Agustina M, Ika Hesti A, D. (2014). *Graf-Graf Khusus dan Bilangan Dominasinya Pendahuluan Teorema yang Digunakan Hasil Penelitian dan Pembahasan*. 4–9.
- Ali, A., Furtula, B., Redžepović, I., & Gutman, I. (2022). Atom-bond sum-connectivity index. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 60(10), 2081–2093. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10910-022-01403-1>
- Ashraful Alam, M., Ghani, M. U., Kamran, M., Shazib Hameed, M., Hussain Khan, R., & Baig, A. Q. (2022). Degree-Based Entropy for a Non-Kekulean Benzenoid Graph. *Journal of Mathematics*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2288207>
- Huntala, M., Payu, M. R. F., & Yahya, N. I. (2023). Total Rainbow Connection Number Of Shackle Product Of Antiprism Graph ([AP] _3). *Jurnal Matematika, Statistika Dan Komputasi*, 20(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.20956/j.v20i1.24833>
- Joedo, J. C., Dafik, Kristiana, A. I., Agustin, I. H., & Nisviasari, R. (2022). On the rainbow antimagic coloring of vertex amalgamation of graphs. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2157(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2157/1/012014>
- Khan, A. R., Ghani, M. U., Ghaffar, A., Asif, H. M., & Inc, M. (2023). Characterization of Temperature Indices of Silicates. *Silicon*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12633-023-02298-6>
- Kristiana, A. I., Mursyidah, I. L., Dafik, D., Adawiyah, R., & Alfarisi, R. (2022). Local irregular vertex coloring of comb product by path graph and star graph. *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications*, 15(06), 2250148. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793830922501488>
- Maghfiro, S., Dafik, Kristiana, A. I., Nisviasari, R., Slamini, & Agustin, I. H. (2023). *A Study of the Rainbow Antimagic Coloring of Double Wheel and Parachute Graphs* (Vol. 3). Atlantis Press International BV. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-138-8_11
- Mahapatra, T., & Pal, M. (2022). An investigation on m-polar fuzzy threshold graph and its application on resource power controlling system. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 13(1), 501–514. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-021-02914-6>
- Maryati, T. K., Salman, A. N. M., Baskoro, E. T., Ryan, J., & Miller, M. (2010). *On H-supermagic labelings for certain shackles and amalgamations of a connected graph*. 83(October 2016), 333–342.
- Milano, G., Miranda, E., & Ricciardi, C. (2022). Connectome of memristive nanowire networks through graph theory. *Neural Networks*, 150, 137–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2022.02.022>
- Poniman, B., & Fran, F. (2020). Bilangan dominasi eksentrik terhubung pada graf sunlet dan graf bishop. *BIMASTER*, 09(1), 71–78.
- Ponraj, R., Yesu, S., Philip, D., & Kala, R. (2022). 4-Total Difference Cordial Labeling of Some Special Graphs. *J. Appl. & Pure Math*, 4(2), 51–61. <https://doi.org/10.23091/japm.2022.051>
- Prayitno, W. (2015). Implementasi Blended Learning dalam Pembelajaran pada Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah. *Artikel LPMP D.I. Yogyakarta*, 1–14.
- Saifudin, I. (2017). Bilangan Dominasi Jarak Dua Pada Graf Hasil Operasi Amalgamasi. *Jurnal Sistem & Teknologi Informasi Indonesia*, 2(1), 31–40.
- Saifudin, I., & Umilasari, R. (2017). Penempatan Anjungan Tunai Mandiri (ATM) pada

- Kecamatan Sumbersari Kabupaten Jember Menggunakan Teori Bilangan Dominasi. *JUSTINDO*, 2(2), 112–120.
- Shaheen, R., Mahfud, S., & Almanea, K. (2017). On the 2-Domination Number of Complete Grid Graphs. *Open Journal of Discrete Mathematics*, 07(01), 32–50. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojdm.2017.71004>
- Umilasari, R. (2015). *Bilangan Dominasi Jarak Dua Pada Graf*. ITS.
- Umilasari, R. (2017). Bilangan Dominasi Jarak Dua Pada Graf Hasil Operasi Shackle. *Jurnal Sistem & Teknologi Informasi Indonesia*, 2(2), 121–127.
- Umilasari, R., Saifudin, I., & Azhar, R. F. (2019). Optimasi Penempatan Petugas Keamanan Di Taman Safari Prigen Pasuruan Menggunakan Teori Himpunan Dominasi. *JUSTINDO (Jurnal Sistem Dan Teknologi Informasi Indonesia)*, 4(2), 36. <https://doi.org/10.32528/justindo.v4i2.2613>
- Vecchio, F., Miraglia, F., & Maria Rossini, P. (2017). Connectome: Graph theory application in functional brain network architecture. *Clinical Neurophysiology Practice*, 2, 206–213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnp.2017.09.003>
- Verma, P., Nagarajan, S., & Raj, A. (2022). Spectral graph theory of brain oscillations—Revisited and improved. *NeuroImage*, 249(December 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.118919>
- Vikade, W. D. (2016). *Bilangan Dominasi Jarak Dua pada Graf Hasil Operasi*. Universitas Jember.
- Wahyuni, Y., & Utoyo, M. I. (2017). Bilangan Dominasi Jarak-2 Graf Jahangir J n , m. *Prosiding SI MaNIs*, 1(1), 606–610.
- Xue, H., & Li, L. (2023). *applied sciences Motion , Static Force , and Efficiency Analysis of Planetary Gear Transmission Based on Graph Theory*.
- Zhang, C., Xia, D., Chen, H., & Chen, G. (2023). Graph Theory-based Approach for Partial Topology Identification of Stochastic Multi-group Models With Multiple Dispersal. *International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems*, 21(9), 2969–2979. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12555-022-0356-5>
- Zhang, X., Rauf, A., Ishtiaq, M., Siddiqui, M. K., & Muhammad, M. H. (2022). On Degree Based Topological Properties of Two Carbon Nanotubes. *Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds*, 42(3), 866–884. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10406638.2020.1753221>

● 12% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 11% Internet database
- 7% Publications database
- Crossref database
- Crossref Posted Content database
- 5% Submitted Works database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	media.neliti.com Internet	7%
2	Universitas Terbuka on 2022-09-25 Submitted works	3%
3	eprints.uad.ac.id Internet	<1%
4	repository.iainambon.ac.id Internet	<1%
5	ojs3.unpatti.ac.id Internet	<1%
6	Xiaoguang Diao, Fei Liu, Yuan Song, Mengyue Xu, Yizhan Zhuang, Xiao... Crossref	<1%
7	University of Newcastle on 2020-09-22 Submitted works	<1%