

**THE EFFECT OF USING THREE STEP INTERVIEW ON STUDENTS
WRITING ACHIEVEMENT**

THESIS

By

Kiki Aprilia Ananda Putri

NIM 1410231074



**UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH JEMBER
FAKULTAS KEGURUAN DAN ILMU PENDIDIKAN
PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INGGRIS
2018**

APPROVAL SHEET

This is to certify that *Sarjana* thesis of Kiki Aprilia Ananda Putri has been proved by the thesis Board Examiners of Examination as the requirement for *Sarjana* degree in English Education Program in July 9, 2018

Broad of Examiners,

Anita Fatimatul Laeli, M.Pd
NIP. 1986090411503632

Chair

Henri Fatkurochman, M. Hum
NIP. 197302212005011003

Member

Nur Kamilah, M.Pd
NPK 1609784

Member

Acknowledged by
Dean of Faculty of Teacher Training and Education

Dr. Mochammad Hatip, M.Pd
NPK. 87 02 165

PREFACE

First of all, the researcher says thanks to Allah who always gives His gracious mercy and blessing, so the researcher can finish her thesis entitled “The Effect of Using Three Step Interview on Students Writing Achievement”. There are six chapters in this thesis. In the first chapter introduce the background of the research. In the second chapter discuss about review of the related literature that is Three Step Interview and hypothesis. The third chapter discuss about the research method. The fourth chapter discuss about the result of the research. The fifth chapter discuss about the impact of the literature in the class. The last chapter is conclusion and suggestion. This thesis is arranged to fulfill one of the requirements in completing *Sarjana* Degree in English Education Program, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, University of Muhammadiyah Jember.

Last but not least, the researcher would like to thank to all of those who have given the contribution so that this thesis can be finished. This thesis is far from the perfectness. Critics and suggestions are needed to make this thesis better.

Jember, 9 July 2018

The Researcher


TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER	i
TITLE SHEET	ii
LOGO PAGE	iii
MOTTO	iv
AGREEMENT SHEET	v
APPROVAL SHEET	vi
PREFACE	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	viii
DEDICATION	ix
TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
ABSTRAK	xv
ABSTRACT	xvi
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Research	1
1.2 Problem of the research	4
1.3 Purpose of the Research	5
1.4 Operational Definition of Terms	5
1.4.1 Three Step Interview Technique	5
1.4.2 Writing Achievement	5
1.5 Significance of The Research	6
1.5.1 Theoretical Significances	6
1.5.2 Practical Significances	6
1.6 Scope of The Research	6
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE AND HYPOTHESIS	7
2.1 Review of Related Literature	7
2.1.1 Definition and aspects of writing	7
2.1.1.1 Aspect of Good Writing	7
2.1.2 Writing Paragraphs	10
2.1.2.1 The Main Parts of a Paragraph	11
2.1.2.2 Descriptive Paragraph Writing	12
2.1.3 Steps in Writing	14
2.1.4 Three Step Interview as a Learning Technique	17
2.1.4.1 The Advantages and Disadvantages of Three Step Interview	19
2.1.5 Relation of Using Three Step Interview and Writing Achievement	20
2.2 Research Hypothesis	22
CHAPTER III THE RESEARCH METHOD	23
3.1 Kind of the Research	23
3.2 Design of the Research	24

3.3	Proceduret of the Research.....	25
3.4	Respondent of the Research	25
3.4.1	Population	25
3.4.2	Sample	26
3.5	Area of the Research	26
3.6	Data Collection Technique.....	26
3.7	Instrument of the Research.....	27
3.7.1	Developing Instrument	29
3.8	Data Analysis.....	31
CHAPTER IV RESEARCH RESULT.....		33
4.1	The Description of Research Data	33
4.1.1	Pre Test Score	33
4.1.2	Post Test Score.....	34
4.2	Hypothesis Testing.....	35
4.2.1	Normality Test	35
4.2.1.1	Normality Test in Pre Test Score.....	36
4.2.1.2	Normality Test in Post Test Score	38
4.2.2	Homogeneity Test.....	39
4.2.2.1	Homogeneity Test in Pre Test Score.....	40
4.2.2.2	Homogeneity Test in Post Test Score	40
4.2.3	Parametric and Non-Parametric Test	40
4.2.3.1	Independent Sample t-test for Hypothesis Testing	41
CHAPTER V DISCUSSION		43
CHAPTER VI CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION		48
6.1	Conclusion.....	48
6.2	Suggestion	49
REFERENCES		50

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
1. Research Matrix.....	50
2. Assignment Of Try Out	52
3. Try Out Subject.....	53
4. Try Out Score	54
5. Variant Of The Writing Try Out Test	55
6. Research Subject.....	56
7. Pre-Test and Post-Test Writing Assignment.....	58
8. Students Attendant List	59
9. Score of Pre-Test	61
10. Lesson Plan Meeting Experiment Class.....	63
11. Lesson Plan Meeting Control Class	73
12. Score of Post-Test	82
13. Descriptive Of the Data	84
14. Hypotesis Testing.....	88
15. Statement of Originality	89
16. Curriculum Vitae	90
17. Official statement of research.....	91
18. Seminar's attendance list.....	92
19. Documentation.....	93
20. Students writing assignment.....	95



REFERENCES

- Arikunto, S. (2010). *Prosedur Penelitian*. Jakarta: PT. Rineka Cipta.
- Ary, D et al. (2010). *Introduction to Research in Education*. Wadsworth Cengage Learning. USA.
- Bennett, Barrie, and Carol Rolheiser. (2001). *Three Step Interview*. Beyond Monet: The Artful Science of Instructional Integration.
- Brown, J.D. (2000). *What is Construct Validity*. University of Hawaii.
- Coleman, H. (2010). *The English Language in Development*. The United Kingdom's International Organisation for Cultural Relations and Educational Opportunities. England and Wales.
- D'Aoust, C. (2987). *Teaching Writing as a Process*. California Writing Project.
- Fatkurochman, H. 2015. *Writing Thesis Proposal*. Malang : UMM Press.
- Folse, K. (2010). *Great Paragraphs*. University of Florida.
- Goctu, R. (2017). *Metacognitive Strategies in Academic Writing*. Black Sea Region.
- Harmer, J. (2001). *The Practice of English Language Teaching*. Essex, England: Longman.
- Heaton, J. (1975). *Writing English Language Test*. New York: Longman, Inc.
- Hedge, T. (1988). *Writing*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hughes, A. (1989). *Testing for Language Teachers*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Hyland, K. (2009). *Teaching and Researching Writing*. United Kingdom: Pearson Education Limited.
- Kagan, S. (1990). *The Structural Approach to Cooperative Learning*. Educational Leadership.
- Knapp, P & Watkins, M. (2005). *Genre, Text, Grammar: Technologies for Teaching and Assessing writing*. Sydney: University of New South Wales.
- Mayer, P. (2011). *Writing Guide*. CRESL Level 4.

- McCaskill, M. (1990). *Grammar, Punctuation, and Capitalization*. Washington; National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- Paidi, R. (2014). *Improving the Tenth Year Students' Speaking Ability Through Three Step Interview Technique at Sma Plus Al-Azhar Jember In The 2010/2011 Academic Year*. Skripsi. Univesitas Negri Jember.
- Pikulski, J& Templeton, S. (2004). *Teaching and Developing Vocabulary:Key to Long-Term Reading Success*. USA; Houghton Mifflin Company.
- da Luz, F.S.R. (2015). *The Relationship between Teachers and Students in the Classroom: Communicative Language Teaching Approach and Cooperative Learning Strategy to Improve Learning*. Bridgewater State University. In *BSU Master's Theses and Projects*.
- Tillema, M. (2012). *Writing in First and Second Language*. LOT. The Netherlands.
- Warda et al. (2015). *The Effect of Using Three-Step-Interview on the Seventh Grade Students' Descriptive Paragraph Writing Achievement at SMP Ma'arif 08 Wuluhan Jember*. Unej Jurnal Edukasi.
- Utami, P. (2016). *The Effects of Three Step Interview Strategy Towards Students' Speaking Ability*. Fakultas Ilmu Pendidikan IKIP Mataram.
- Wilcox, K. (2004). *Defining Grammar: A Critical Primer*. Bozeman: Montana State University.
- Whitaker, A. (2009). *Academic Writing Guide*. Bratislava: CityUniversity of Seattle.
- Zarkasyi, W. (2015). *Penelitian Pendidikan Matematika*. Bandung: PT Refika Aditama.